## NATURAL SCIENCES TRIPOS

Monday 28 May 2001 1.30 to 4.30

# MATHEMATICS (1)

## Before you begin read these instructions carefully:

You may submit answers to no more than **seven** questions. All questions carry the same number of marks.

The approximate number of marks allocated to a part of a question will be indicated in the right hand margin.

Write on one side of the paper only and begin each answer on a separate sheet.

#### At the end of the examination:

Each question has a number and a letter (for example, 6C).

Answers must be tied up in separate bundles, marked A, B or C according to the letter affixed to each question.

#### Do not join the bundles together.

For each bundle, a blue cover sheet must be completed and attached to the bundle.

A separate yellow master cover sheet listing all the questions attempted **must** also be completed.

Every cover sheet must bear your examination number and desk number.

2

**1A** Using Cartesian coordinates show that

$$(\boldsymbol{u}.\nabla)\boldsymbol{u} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla u^2 - \boldsymbol{u} \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}),$$

where u = |u|. Briefly explain why this is true irrespective of the coordinate system used to describe the vectors.

Spherical polar coordinates  $r, \theta, \phi$  are related to Cartesian x, y, z by

$$x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi,$$
  $y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$  and  $z = r \cos \theta.$ 

Prove that spherical polar coordinates are orthogonal and find the metric coefficients  $h_r$ ,  $h_{\theta}$  and  $h_{\phi}$  such that

$$\label{eq:ds} \boldsymbol{ds}|^2 = h_r^2 dr^2 + h_\theta^2 d\theta^2 + h_\phi^2 d\phi^2,$$

where the vector ds connects the point  $(r, \theta, \phi)$  to  $(r + dr, \theta + d\theta, \phi + d\phi)$ .

A vector field  $\boldsymbol{u} = u(r)\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ , where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$  is a unit vector in the direction of increasing  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ . Show that the radial component of  $(\boldsymbol{u}.\nabla)\boldsymbol{u}$  is

$$-\frac{u^2}{r}$$

and find the other two components.

[You may use the following formulae

$$\nabla \psi = \frac{1}{h_r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \hat{\boldsymbol{r}} + \frac{1}{h_\theta} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \frac{1}{h_\phi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \phi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

and

$$abla imes oldsymbol{A} = rac{1}{h_r h_ heta h_\phi} \left| egin{array}{ccc} h_r \hat{oldsymbol{\hat{r}}} & h_ heta \hat{oldsymbol{ heta}} & h_\phi \hat{oldsymbol{ heta}} \ \partial/\partial r & \partial/\partial heta & \partial/\partial \phi \ h_r A_r & h_ heta A_ heta & h_\phi A_\phi \end{array} 
ight|.$$

Paper 1

[7]

[6]

[7]

3

**2A** The Fourier transform of f(x) is defined as

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}kx} \, dx.$$

Write down the inverse Fourier transform of  $\tilde{g}(k)$ .

Evaluate the Fourier transform of  $f(x) = e^{-c|x|}$  (c > 0) and hence or otherwise show that the Fourier transform of  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 + c^2}$  is

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2c} e^{-c|k|}.$$

Define the convolution f \* g of two functions f(x) and g(x) and deduce the convolution theorem for its Fourier transform.

Hence, by taking the Fourier transform, find the function g(x) in the integral equation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(y)}{(y-x)^2 + a^2} \, dy = \frac{1}{x^2 + b^2},$$

where b > a > 0.

**3A** Define the Laplace transform F(p) of a function  $f(t), t \ge 0$ . [2]

Derive expressions for the Laplace transforms of df/dt and tf(t). [5]

Evaluate 
$$F(p)$$
 when  $f(t) = \sin kt$ . [3]

Hence, by finding dG/dp or otherwise, solve

$$t\frac{d^2g}{dt^2} + 2\frac{dg}{dt} + \alpha^2 tg = 0, \qquad g(0) = 1, \quad g'(0) = 0.$$
 [10]

### [TURN OVER

Paper 1

[2]

[6]

[5]

[7]

UNIVERSITY OF

4

- **4B** Suppose **A** is an  $n \times n$  matrix, such that  $\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{A}$ .
  - (a) By considering the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ , prove either: (i) that det  $\mathbf{A} = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{A} = n$ ; or (ii) that det  $\mathbf{A} = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{A} = m < n$ , where *m* is an integer. [5]

If Ax = y, what is Ay? What is the dimension of the space of nonvanishing vectors y for the two cases (i) and (ii) mentioned above?

(b) Construct a  $4 \times 4$  matrix **P** such that its action on an arbitrary vector  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is

$$P_{ij}x_j = x_i - \delta_{i4} \sum_{k=1}^4 x_k .$$

What is  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , det  $\mathbf{P}$ , Tr $\mathbf{P}$ ?

Find a set of linearly independent vectors that span the space of vectors  $y = \mathbf{P}x$ . Construct from them an orthonormal basis for this subspace. [5]

**5B** Given an  $n \times n$  matrix **M** and the identity **I**, show that the matrices  $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}$  and  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M})^{-1}$  commute. [4]

For a real antisymmetric matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , the matrix  $\mathbf{N}$  is defined by:

$$\mathbf{N} = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}) \ (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1} \ .$$

Show that  $\mathbf{N}$  is orthogonal.

Show that the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  are also eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{N}$ . [3]

Show that the three eigenvalues of a real orthogonal  $3 \times 3$  matrix are (i)  $e^{+i\alpha}$ , (ii)  $e^{-i\alpha}$  and (iii) +1 or -1, where  $\alpha$  is real. [4]

Hence show that, when **A** and **N** are  $3 \times 3$  matrices, det **N** = 1 and that there exists a direction x in which Ax = 0. [5]

Paper 1

[5]

[5]

[4]

**6C** Define an *ordinary point* and a *regular singular point* of the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + p(x)\frac{dy}{dx} + q(x)y = 0$$

What are the implications for the existence of a series solution at such points?

Find series solutions about x = 0 of the equation

$$y'' + \frac{(1-x)}{2x}y' - \frac{1}{4x}y = 0.$$

In particular, determine the indicial equation, the recurrence relations and the radius of convergence of your solutions.

Express one of these solutions in closed form.

**7C** A differential operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is self-adjoint on the interval  $a \leq x \leq b$  if for all pairs of functions  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  satisfying appropriate boundary conditions we have

$$\int_a^b \left[ y_1 \mathcal{L} y_2 - y_2 \mathcal{L} y_1 \right] dx = 0 \,.$$

By integrating by parts, show that operators in Sturm-Liouville form

$$\mathcal{L}y \equiv -\left[p(x)\,y'\right]' + q(x)y$$

are self-adjoint, given suitable boundary conditions. Specify several examples of these boundary conditions.

Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$(1 - x^2)y'' - xy' + n^2y = 0$$

on the interval  $-1 \le x \le 1$  with given eigenvalues  $\lambda_n = n^2$ , n an integer.

By considering the substitution  $x = \cos \theta$ , find the corresponding eigenfunctions

$$y_n(x) = N_n \sin\left[n \, \cos^{-1} x\right]$$

which satisfy  $y_n(1) = 0$  and  $y_n(-1) = 0$ .

Hence (or otherwise) find the weight function w(x) and the normalization constants  $N_n$  such that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} w(x) y_n(x) y_m(x) dx = \delta_{nm} .$$
[8]

**[TURN OVER** 

Paper 1

[6]

[6]

[12]

[2]

[6]

6

**8C** Find the Green's function  $G(x,\xi)$  satisfying

$$\frac{d^2G}{dx^2} - \kappa^2 G = \delta(x - \xi), \qquad 0 \le x \le 1, \qquad 0 \le \xi \le 1, \qquad (\kappa \text{ real})$$

subject to the boundary conditions  $\frac{dG}{dx}(0,\xi) = \frac{dG}{dx}(1,\xi) = 0.$ 

Hence show that the solution of the equation

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} - \kappa^2 y = f(x),$$

for the boundary conditions y'(0) = y'(1) = 0, is given by

$$y(x) = \frac{-1}{\kappa \sinh \kappa} \Big[ \cosh \kappa x \int_{x}^{1} f(\xi) \cosh \kappa (1-\xi) d\xi \\ + \cosh \kappa (1-x) \int_{0}^{x} f(\xi) \cosh \kappa \xi \, d\xi \Big] \,.$$

$$[4]$$

Find the explicit solution for y(x) given f(x) = x and  $\kappa = 1$ .

**9C** From the Euler-Lagrange equation,  $\frac{d}{dx}\frac{\partial F}{\partial y'} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 0$ , which extremizes the functional  $I = \int_a^b F(x, y, y') dx$ , recast the Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem

$$-[p(x) y']' + q(x)y - \lambda w(x)y = 0$$

in variational form, given appropriate boundary conditions for y at x = a, b. Hence, explain the Rayleigh-Ritz method for estimating the lowest eigenvalue.

Consider the differential equation

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{d\psi}{dr}\right) + \lambda\psi = 0$$

subject to the boundary conditions y(1) = 0 and y'(0) = 0.

Find a suitable quadratic trial function satisfying these boundary conditions and apply the Rayleigh-Ritz method to estimate the lowest eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$ . [9]

The actual value is  $\lambda_0 = \pi^2$ . Why should your estimate be higher?

[10]

[8]

[3]

[6]

**10C** The Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{d}{dx}\frac{\partial F}{\partial y'} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 0$$

7

is satisfied by the function y(x) which makes the integral  $I = \int_a^b F(x, y, y') dx$  stationary, subject to appropriate boundary conditions.

Show that if F(x, y, y') does not depend explicitly on x, then y also satisfies the first integral

$$F - y' \frac{\partial F}{\partial y'} = k$$
 (const.)

An optical medium in the planar strip  $0 < y \leq 1$  has a variable refractive index  $\mu(x, y) = y^{-1}$ , with a constant  $\mu = 1$  above it (y > 1).

Apply Fermat's principle to find the set of paths followed by light rays within the optical medium  $(0 < y \leq 1)$ .

For a light ray entering the medium at y = 1 and subtending an angle  $\theta$  from the y-axis, calculate the distance in the x-direction that the ray will travel; what is the maximum distance possible?

We wish to solve numerically the first-order differential equation y' = f(y, t) using **11C** one of the following schemes:

Euler: 
$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta t f(y_n, t_n)$$
,

Backward Euler:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta t f(y_{n+1}, t_{n+1})$ ,

By Taylor expanding y and f, show that both schemes have a local truncation error  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^2).$ 

By considering the equation  $y' = -\Lambda y$  (Re  $\Lambda > 0$ ) (or otherwise), examine the stability of both schemes.

A predictor-corrector method employs both the Euler and Backward Euler schemes as follows:

where

$$y_{n+1}^{(1)} = y_n + \Delta t f(y_n, t_n) ,$$

$$y_{n+1}^{(2)} = y_n + \Delta t f(y_{n+1}^{(1)}, t_{n+1}),$$

Find the local truncation error for this method.

[4]

Examine the stability of this method. [4]

Paper 1

 $y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ y_{n+1}^{(1)} + y_{n+1}^{(2)} \right] ,$ 

[6]

[6]

[6]