### 2.2 Parallel-Plate Capacitor: Laplace's Equation

This project is self-contained. Part IB Electromagnetism provides background but is not necessary.

## 1 Introduction

You will solve Laplace's equation in two dimensions, in order to compute properties of a simple capacitor. The system is sketched in Fig. 1, it consists of two parallel rectangular plates of size $\ell_{x} \times \ell_{z}$, separated by a distance $d$. The thickness of the plates is negligible. Let the electric potential at position $(x, y, z)$ be $\varphi(x, y, z)$. The centres of the plates are at $(x, y, z)=\left(0, \pm \frac{d}{2}, 0\right)$. On the upper plate then $\varphi=+V / 2$ and on the lower plate then $\varphi=-V / 2$.
The problem is to find a function $\phi(x, y)$ that solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi=( \pm V / 2) \text { on the plates: } y=( \pm d / 2) \text { and }|x|<\left(\ell_{x} / 2\right) \\
& \phi \rightarrow 0 \text { as either }|x| \rightarrow \infty \text { or }|y| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $\ell_{z} \gg \ell_{x}$ : then the solution $\phi(x, y)$ is an accurate approximation to $\varphi(x, y, 0)$, which is the potential in the plane $z=0$.
The electric field (in this plane) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}=-\nabla \phi=\left(-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x},-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is useful to work in dimensionless units, defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{2 x}{d}, \quad Y=\frac{2 y}{d}, \quad L=\frac{\ell_{x}}{d}, \quad \Phi=\frac{\phi}{V} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is computed for the upper plate. This simplifies our problem to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial X^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial Y^{2}}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Phi= \pm \frac{1}{2}$ on the plates, which are at $Y= \pm 1$ with $|X| \leqslant L$; also $\Phi \rightarrow 0$ far from the plates. For large $L$, the expected physical behaviour in the gap between the plates is that $\nabla \Phi$ is (almost) aligned with the $Y$-direction, and depends weakly on $X, Y$.

## 2 Numerical Method

For numerical purposes, it is convenient to solve Eq. (5) in a rectangular domain $\mathcal{D}=\left[-D_{X}, D_{X}\right] \times$ [ $-D_{Y}, D_{Y}$ ] where $D_{X}, D_{Y}$ are parameters to be chosen appropriately. We set $\Phi=0$ on the boundary of this domain*: the solution to the original problem is recovered by taking $D_{X}, D_{Y} \rightarrow$
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Figure 1: Sketch of two parallel plates of size $\ell_{x} \times \ell_{z}$, separated by distance $d$. The upper plate is at potential $\varphi=V / 2$ and the lower one is at $\varphi=-V / 2$.
$\infty$. The solution $\Phi$ has symmetries $\Phi(-X, Y)=\Phi(X, Y)$ and $\Phi(X,-Y)=-\Phi(X, Y)$. Hence it is sufficient to compute $\Phi$ in the positive quadrant of $\mathcal{D}$.
Within the domain $\mathcal{D}$, define a grid of points $\left(X_{m}, Y_{n}\right)=(m h, n h)$ where $m, n$ are integers and $h$ is the grid spacing. The domain $\mathcal{D}$ should be chosen such that $N_{x}=D_{X} / h$ and $N_{y}=D_{Y} / h$ are integers, as is $1 / h$. You will compute a numerical approximation to $\Phi\left(X_{m}, Y_{n}\right)$ which is denoted by $\Phi_{m, n}$.

Question 1 Suppose that we can find a numerical solution [for all grid points ( $\left.X_{i}, Y_{j}\right)$ ] of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i-1, j}+\Phi_{i+1, j}+\Phi_{i, j-1}+\Phi_{i, j+1}-4 \Phi_{i, j}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to appropriate boundary conditions. Show that as $h \rightarrow 0$, this numerical solution approximates a solution of the Laplace equation (5).

Eq. 6 can be solved by iteration. Starting with an initial guess $\Phi^{(0)}$ one defines a sequence of approximations $\Phi^{(k)}$ with $k=1,2, \ldots$, which converge to the solutions of Eq. (6). For some mesh points, the value of $\Phi_{m, n}$ is fixed by boundary conditions (either from the plates or from the boundary of $\mathcal{D}$ ). For the remaining points, an iteration rule is required.
A simple rule is the Jacobi scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i, j}^{(k+1)}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\Phi_{i-1, j}^{(k)}+\Phi_{i+1, j}^{(k)}+\Phi_{i, j-1}^{(k)}+\Phi_{i, j+1}^{(k)}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, it is more efficient in practice to use the successive over-relaxation (SOR) method:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i, j}^{(k+1)}=(1-\omega) \Phi_{i, j}^{(k)}+\frac{\omega}{4}\left[\Phi_{i-1, j}^{(k+1)}+\Phi_{i+1, j}^{(k)}+\Phi_{i, j-1}^{(k+1)}+\Phi_{i, j+1}^{(k)}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is a parameter with $1 \leqslant \omega<2$. The case $\omega=1$ is called Gauss-Seidel iteration, larger $\omega$ corresponds to increasing "over-relaxation" which can be effective for accelerating convergence. Note that the right hand side of Eq. 8 mixes quantities from the $k$ th and $(k+1)$ th iterations, this is feasible in practice because the $\Phi_{m, n}^{(k+1)}$ are computed sequentially in $m, n$. Full details can be found in texts on numerical methods, such as Ref. [1].

## 3 Computing the potential and the electric field

When implementing the SOR method, you should restrict to the positive quadrant of $\mathcal{D}$, and you will need to take care with the iteration rule at the edge of this domain. You can use that $\Phi=0$ on the boundaries $X=D_{X}$ and $Y=D_{Y}$. For $Y=0$ then $\Phi=0$ since $\Phi$ is
odd in $Y$. For $X=0$ then you should replace $\Phi_{-1, j}^{(k+1)}$ in the iteration rule by $\Phi_{1, j}^{(k)}$, using that $\Phi(-h, Y)=\Phi(h, Y)$ by symmetry.

Note carefully that the boundary conditions fix $\Phi$ for points on the plates, so the iteration rule should not be applied there.

You will also need a criterion for stopping the iteration. For this, define the residual

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{k}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left|\Phi_{i, j}^{(k)}-\Phi_{i, j}^{(k-1)}\right| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the total number of mesh points, and the sum runs over all such points. The $k$ th iteration $\Phi^{(k)}$ can be taken as a suitable approximation for the solution $\Phi$ if $r_{k}<\epsilon_{\text {tol }}$, where $\epsilon_{\mathrm{tol}}$ is a small tolerance parameter that you will need to choose.

Programming Task: Write a program to implement the SOR iteration method. The program output will depend on parameters $L, D_{X}, D_{Y}, h, \omega, \epsilon_{\mathrm{tol}}$. It will be necessary to plot the solution $\Phi$, either as a function of two variables, or as one-dimensional "slices" along the $x$ or $y$ directions. The validity of the method does not depend on your initial guess $\Phi^{(0)}$, you should verify this.

Question 2 Test your program as follows. Take $L=1$ and $h=\frac{1}{2}$ and ( $D_{X}, D_{Y}$ ) = $(2,2)$. Take $\omega=1$. By suitably adjusting $\epsilon_{\text {tol }}$, verify that the solution to the discretised problem in Eq. (6) has $\Phi_{1,1}=\Phi_{1,3}=0.238$ (to three significant figures). Show how your estimate of $\Phi$ depends on $(X, Y)$ inside $\mathcal{D}$.

The $Y$-component of the electric field (in dimensionless units) is $\mathcal{E}_{Y}=-\partial \Phi / \partial Y$, which can be estimated by a finite difference as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{Y}(X, Y) \approx \pm \frac{1}{h}[\Phi(X, Y \mp h)-\Phi(X, Y)] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}_{Y}$ may be discontinuous at $Y=1$, in which case the left- and right-derivatives are not equal (but both can be estimated by choosing appropriately the $\pm$ signs).

Question 3 Take $L=2$ and $h=\frac{1}{4}$ and $\left(D_{X}, D_{Y}\right)=(4,4)$. Plot the corresponding numerical approximations to $\Phi(0, Y)$ and $\Phi(2, Y)$ for $0<Y<D_{Y}$. Plot estimates of the field on the mid-plane: $\mathcal{E}_{Y}(X, 0)$ for $0<X<D_{X}$. Also plot the electric field on the upper and lower surfaces of the plate $\mathcal{E}_{Y}(X, Y \rightarrow 1)$. Comment on how you ensured that your results solve Equ. (6) to sufficient accuracy.

Question 4 For the parameters of question 3, investigate the effect of reducing $h$ (for example, you might compare $\left.h=\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{12}\right)$. Plot the same quantities considered in that question, for several different values of $h$, on the same axes. Are your results consistent with convergence to a suitable solution of the Laplace equation, as $h \rightarrow 0$ ?

Question 5 Still for the parameters of question 3, how many iterations of SOR are required for convergence? Investigate how this depends on $\omega$.

Question 6 Recall that the original problem of interest was posed on the infinite domain $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with boundary condition $\Phi \rightarrow 0$ as $|X|,|Y| \rightarrow \infty$. By varying $D_{X}$ and $D_{Y}$, investigate how much your numerical solutions near the plates are affected by the the boundary condition that $\Phi=0$ on the boundary of $\mathcal{D}$.

## 4 Comparison with semi-infinite plates

To understand the behavior of $\Phi$ near the ends of the plates, it is useful to consider a slightly different problem, for which exact results are available. Instead of two finite plates, we consider semi-infinite ones corresponding to the line segments $Y= \pm 1$ with $X \in(-\infty, L]$. In this case, the theory of conformal mappings provides formulae for equipotentials (lines of constant $\Phi$ ). ${ }^{\dagger}$
The theory defines a function $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that lines of constant $\Psi$ are everywhere orthogonal to the equipotentials. ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Now define $W=-\Phi+\mathrm{i} \Psi$ (where $\mathrm{i}=\sqrt{-1}$, as usual). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X-L)+\mathrm{i} Y=\frac{1+\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} W}}{\pi}-2 \mathrm{i} W \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equipotential lines can be computed (parametrically) from this formula by fixing some $\Phi \in$ $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, varying $\Psi$, and taking real/imaginary parts. Lines of constant $\Psi$ (known as field lines) are obtained similarly, by fixing $\Psi$ and varying $\Phi$. Obviously, the value of $L$ only shifts these solutions along the $X$-direction.
In addition, the electric field $\left(\mathcal{E}_{X}, \mathcal{E}_{Y}\right)=(-\partial \Phi / \partial X,-\partial \Phi / \partial Y)$ can be obtained in terms of $\Phi, \Psi$ from the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} W}+1\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Question 7 Consider the semi-infinite case with $L=0$ and plot some illustrative equipotentials and field lines. (When plotting equipotentials, some care is required with the range of $\Psi$-values.) The upper surface of the top plate corresponds to $\Phi=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\Psi \in(0, \infty)$ while the lower surface is $\Phi=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\Psi \in(-\infty, 0)$ : illustrate this by plotting some equipotentials with $\Phi=\frac{1}{2}-\delta$, for suitably small $\delta$.

Question 8 Consider the electric field on the upper surface of the top plate, as follows: You know from Question 7 how $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ behave on the plate. Use Eq. (12) to show that the electric field on the surface is in the $Y$ direction and derive its magnitude in terms of $\Psi$. Consider the asymptotic behaviour of Eqs. $(11,12)$ as $\Psi \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $\Psi \rightarrow \infty$ (always with $\Phi=\frac{1}{2}$ ) and hence show that

$$
\mathcal{E}_{Y}\left(X, 1^{+}\right) \approx\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
a(L-X)^{-1 / 2} & \text { as } X \rightarrow L^{-} \\
b(L-X)^{-1} & \text { as } X \rightarrow-\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are constants to be determined.
What happens on the lower surface of the plate?
Question 9 For large values of $L, D_{X}, D_{Y}$, the numerical solutions for finite plates can be compared with the semi-infinite case. For a few values of $L$, make plots that compare your numerical estimates of the field on the plate surfaces with the results for semi-infinite plates derived in Question 8, which can again be plotted parametrically (by varying $\Psi$ ).
For the numerical solutions, you will need to choose values of $h, D_{X}, D_{Y}$ that balance the computational time with the accuracy required. In which parts of the domain do the numerical solutions depend most strongly on these parameters (and on $L$ )? In which parts of the domain do the solutions match for finite and semi-infinite cases?
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[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ This corresponds physically to putting the capacitor in a conducting box at potential $\phi=0$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ A detailed discussion is given in Ref. [2], including some results for finite plates, but these are not needed for this project.
    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ This $\Psi$ is a harmonic conjugate of $-\Phi$, which means that $\partial \Phi / \partial X=-\partial \Psi / \partial Y$ and $\partial \Phi / \partial Y=\partial \Psi / \partial X$.

