

MAT3

MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS **Part III**

Monday 10 June 2024 9:00 am to 12:00 pm

PAPER 107

ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Before you begin please read these instructions carefully

Candidates have **THREE HOURS** to complete the written examination.

Attempt no more than **THREE** questions.

There are **FOUR** questions in total.

The questions carry equal weight.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

Cover sheet
Treasury tag
Script paper
Rough paper

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

None

**You may not start to read the questions
printed on the subsequent pages until
instructed to do so by the Invigilator.**

1 Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $0 < \beta < \infty$, and

$$L = a^{ij}(x)\partial_{ij}^2 + b^i(x)\partial_i + c(x)$$

be a strictly elliptic differential operator on $B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$|a^{ij}|_{0,\alpha;B_1(0)} + |b^i|_{0,\alpha;B_1(0)} + |c|_{0,\alpha;B_1(0)} \leq \beta$$

and $a^{ij}(x) = a^{ji}(x)$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Suppose $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_1(0)) \cap C^0(\overline{B_1(0)})$ satisfies $Lu = f \in C^{0,\alpha}(B_1(0))$ in $B_1(0)$.

- (a) State what it means for L to be strictly elliptic. State what it means for L to be uniformly elliptic and explain why here L is in fact uniformly elliptic.
- (b) State, without proof, the interpolation inequality in Hölder spaces for a function $u \in C^{l,\alpha}(\overline{B_R(x_0)})$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (c) State, without proof, Simon's Absorbing Lemma for a non-negative function S on a ball $B_R(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ which is sub-additive on sub-balls of $B_R(x)$.
- (d) State and prove the $C^{2,\alpha}$ interior Schauder estimate in $B_1(0)$ for u .
- (e) Comment briefly on which steps in your proof fail if $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$.

[*Hint: You may use the Arzelà–Ascoli Theorem in Hölder spaces without proof. You may also use without proof the fact that $C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ harmonic functions are smooth, as well as Liouville's Theorem for harmonic functions. In part (d), recall that the proof proceeds in three steps: reduction, contradiction, and a consideration of a function obtained in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ for a suitable index k . In step three you may assume without proof that*

$$g_k = \frac{\tilde{f}_k - \tilde{L}_k q_k}{\rho_k^{2+\alpha} [D^2 u_k]_{\alpha; B_1}}$$

tends locally uniformly to zero, where \tilde{f}_k, \tilde{L}_k are sequences of appropriately localised functions and operators, q_k is the Taylor expansion up to second order of u_k around an appropriate point, and u_k and ρ_k are functions you should define in your proof. Additionally, you may use without justification the fact that the strictly elliptic constant coefficient second order PDE $\tilde{a}^{ij}\partial_{ij}^2 v = 0$ may be written as $\Delta \tilde{w} = 0$, where \tilde{w} is related to v by a rotation and scaling of the basis vectors.]

2 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, be an open and bounded domain with smooth boundary. Consider a pair of functions $(X, Y) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, where $\mathbb{H} = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \xi > 0\}$ is the open right half-plane, and consider the problem of minimizing the functional

$$E[X, Y] = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla X|^2 + |\nabla Y|^2}{X^2} dx,$$

assuming throughout the question that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} \leq X \leq C$$

in Ω , i.e. that $X \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $X^{-1} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

(a) Use the Euler–Lagrange equations to show that smooth extremizers of $E[X, Y]$ obey

$$\Delta X = \frac{|\nabla X|^2 - |\nabla Y|^2}{X}, \quad (1)$$

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla Y}{X^2} \right) = 0 \quad (2)$$

in Ω .

(b) By expanding $E[X + t\varphi, Y + t\psi]$ in t for $(X, Y) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{H})$ and arbitrary $(\varphi, \psi) \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{H})$, obtain a weak formulation of (1)–(2). Confirm that when $(X, Y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{H})$, this coincides with the equations (1)–(2).

(c) Given $(X_0, Y_0) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{H})$, let

$$W = \{(X, Y) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{H}) : (X - X_0, Y - Y_0) \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{H})\}.$$

Use the Direct Method of Calculus of Variations to show that there exists a weak solution $(X, Y) \in W$ to the system (1)–(2). [You may use without proof the facts that $H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{H})$ is weakly closed and that $E[X, Y]$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous with respect to convergence in $H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{H})$.]

The higher interior regularity Schauder estimates for strictly elliptic operators in non-divergence form on Ω state that if $a^{ij}, b^i, c \in C^{k, \alpha}(\Omega)$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and the operator $L = a^{ij} \partial_{ij}^2 + b^i \partial_i + c$ is strictly elliptic in Ω and $f \in C^{k, \alpha}(\Omega)$, then if u solves $Lu = f$, then

$$|u|_{k+2, \alpha; \Omega'} \leq C (|u|_{0; \Omega_1} + |f|_{k, \alpha; \Omega_1})$$

for any $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega_1 \subset\subset \Omega$ and some constant $C > 0$ independent of f or u .

(d) State, without proof, the interior $C^{1, \alpha}$ Schauder estimate for a weak solution of a strictly elliptic equation in *divergence* form on Ω .

(e) You are given that weak solutions to (1)–(2) are $C^{0, \alpha}(\Omega')$ for any $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ and some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. By rewriting (1) as an equation for $\log X$, explain why the solution constructed in (b) is in fact $C^{\infty}(\Omega'; \mathbb{H})$ for $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$.

3 Throughout this question, $n \geq 2$ and B_ρ denotes the open ball in \mathbb{R}^n with radius ρ and centre the origin.

- (a) Let $a^{ij} \in L^\infty(B_1)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and suppose that there are constants $\lambda, \Lambda > 0$ such that $a^{ij}(x)\zeta^i\zeta^j \geq \lambda|\zeta|^2$ for a.e. $x \in B_1$ and all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \|a^{ij}\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} \leq \Lambda^2$. State without proof the interior *De Giorgi–Nash–Moser theorem* concerning continuity of a weak solution $u \in W^{1,2}(B_1)$ to $D_i(a^{ij}D_ju) = 0$ in B_1 , giving the relevant estimate describing continuity of u in B_θ for any fixed $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

[In your estimate, you need not provide explicit dependence of the constants on the given parameters, but you should specify which parameters the constants depend on.]

- (b) Let $\mathcal{A}(v) = \int_{B_1} \sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2}$ be the area functional associated with functions $v \in C^1(\overline{B_1})$. Suppose that $u \in C^2(B_1) \cap C^1(\overline{B_1})$ is a minimiser of $\mathcal{A}(\cdot)$ in the sense that $\mathcal{A}(u) \leq \mathcal{A}(v)$ for any $v \in C^1(\overline{B_1})$ with $u = v$ on ∂B_1 .

- (i) Show that u satisfies the *minimal surface equation*

$$\left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{D_i u D_j u}{1 + |Du|^2} \right) D_{ij} u = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1.$$

Show further that for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the partial derivative $w = D_k u$ satisfies an equation of the form $D_i(a^{ij}(Du)D_j w) = 0$ in B_1 , where $a^{ij} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Give an explicit expression for $a^{ij}(p)$ in terms of $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- (ii) Let $L > 0$ be a constant and suppose that $\sup_{B_1} |Du| \leq L$. Let $b^{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{D_i u(x) D_j u(x)}{1 + |Du(x)|^2}$. Show that there is a constant $\alpha = \alpha(n, L) \in (0, 1)$ such that for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $|b^{ij}|_{0,\alpha;B_\theta} \leq \beta$ for each $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and some constant $\beta = \beta(n, L, \theta) \in (0, \infty)$.
- (iii) By considering the Dirichlet problem for the linear equation $b^{ij}D_{ij}v = 0$ in an appropriate ball with appropriate boundary data, or otherwise, show that $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_1)$. Show further that $|u|_{2,\alpha;B_\theta} \leq C\|u\|_{L^2(B_1)}$ for a constant $C = C(n, L, \theta)$.

[You may use, without proof but with clear statements, standard existence and regularity theorems for solutions to PDEs proved in the course.]

- (c) Let $(u_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of non-zero functions in $C^2(B_1) \cap C^1(\overline{B_1})$ satisfying the minimal surface equation in B_1 . If $\sup_k |Du_k|_{0;B_1} < \infty$ and $\|u_k\|_{L^2(B_1)} \rightarrow 0$, show that there is a C^2 harmonic function w on B_1 and a subsequence $(u_{k'})$ such that

$$\frac{u_{k'}}{\|u_{k'}\|_{L^2(B_1)}} \rightarrow w$$

in $C^2(K)$ for every compact set $K \subset B_1$.

4 Throughout this question, $n \geq 2$ and B_ρ denotes the open ball in \mathbb{R}^n with radius ρ and centre the origin. Let $q : B_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function with $\sup_{B_2} |q| \leq \mu$ for some constant μ , and let $u : B_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative C^2 function satisfying

$$\Delta u + qu \leq 0 \text{ in } B_2.$$

Let $u_\epsilon = u + \epsilon$ for constant $\epsilon > 0$.

(a) By considering $w = \log u_\epsilon$ or otherwise, establish the following:

(i) for any $\zeta \in C_c^1(B_2)$,

$$\int_{\{x \in B_2 : u(x) > 0\}} q\zeta^2 \leq \int |D\zeta|^2.$$

(ii) for any ball $B_\rho(z)$ and some fixed constant $K = K(n, \mu) \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\rho^{2-n} \int_{B_\rho(z) \cap B_1} \frac{|Du_\epsilon|^2}{u_\epsilon^2} \leq K.$$

(b) The John–Nirenberg lemma says the following: *there are constants $p = p(n) > 0$ and $C = C(n) > 0$ such that if $v \in W^{1,1}(B_1)$ satisfies $\rho^{1-n} \int_{B_\rho(z) \cap B_1} |Dv| \leq M$ for some constant M and all balls $B_\rho(z)$, then $\int_{B_1} e^{\frac{p}{M}|v-v_a|} \leq C$, where $v_a = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \int_{B_1} v$.* Deduce the following from the results of (a) and the John–Nirenberg lemma (without appealing to any other results from the course unless you prove them).

(i) There are constants $p_0 = p_0(n, \mu) > 0$ and $C = C(n)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{B_1} u_\epsilon^{-p_0} \right) \left(\int_{B_1} u_\epsilon^{p_0} \right) \leq C.$$

(ii) If $u \equiv 0$ on a set $\Sigma \subset B_1$ with $|\Sigma| > 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ on B_1 . Here $|\Sigma|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of Σ .

(iii) If $\inf_{B_2} q > \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of B_2 characterised by

$$\lambda_1 = \inf \left\{ \int_{B_2} |D\zeta|^2 : \zeta \in C_c^1(B_2), \|\zeta\|_{L^2(B_2)} = 1 \right\},$$

then any function $w \in C^2(B_2)$ solving $\Delta w + qw = 0$ in B_2 which is not identically zero must take both positive and negative values in B_2 .

(c) Must the conclusion in (b)(ii) hold under the weaker hypothesis that $u(y) = 0$ for some $y \in B_1$? Give a brief explanation for your answer, quoting without proof any necessary results from the course.

END OF PAPER