

MAT3

MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS **Part III**

Thursday, 8 June, 2023 9:00 am to 11:00 am

PAPER 208

CONCENTRATION INEQUALITIES

Before you begin please read these instructions carefully

Candidates have **TWO HOURS** to complete the written examination.

Attempt no more than **THREE** questions.

There are **FOUR** questions in total.

The questions carry equal weight.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

Cover sheet

Treasury tag

Script paper

Rough paper

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

None

**You may not start to read the questions
printed on the subsequent pages until
instructed to do so by the Invigilator.**

1 A random variable X with $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ is *sub-exponential* if there are nonnegative parameters (ν, α) such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda X} \right] \leq e^{\lambda^2 \nu / 2} \quad \text{for all } |\lambda| < \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

(a) Show that if X is sub-Gaussian with variance parameter ν , then X is sub-exponential with parameters (ν, α) for all $\alpha > 0$. If $X = Z^2 - 1$ for $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, show that X is not sub-Gaussian for any variance parameter ν , but X is $(4, 4)$ sub-exponential. You may use, without proof, the inequality $\frac{e^{-t}}{\sqrt{1-2t}} \leq e^{2t^2}$ for all $|t| < 1/4$.

(b) Suppose X is sub-exponential with parameters (ν, α) . Show that

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\nu}} & \text{if } 0 < t \leq \frac{\nu}{\alpha}, \\ e^{-\frac{t}{2\alpha}} & \text{if } t > \frac{\nu}{\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

(c) Suppose $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are independent random variables such that X_i is sub-exponential with parameters (ν_i, α_i) . Identify (ν, α) (in terms of $\{(\nu_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i=1}^n$) such that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sub-exponential with parameters (ν, α) .

(d) A random variable X with $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \nu$ is said to satisfy *Bernstein's condition* with parameter b if

$$|\mathbb{E}[X^k]| \leq \frac{1}{2} k! \nu b^{k-2} \quad \text{for all integers } k \geq 2.$$

Show that if X satisfies Bernstein's condition with parameter b , then X is sub-exponential with parameters $(2\nu, 2b)$. You may use, without proof, the inequality $1 + x \leq e^x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

2 A probability distribution p on \mathbb{R}^n is said to satisfy a c -Poincaré inequality if, for $X \sim p$, the following inequality holds for all continuously differentiable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \leq c^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(X)\|^2].$$

- (a) Show that the one-dimensional Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ satisfies a σ -Poincaré inequality.
- (b) Suppose $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are probability distributions on \mathbb{R}^n such that p_i satisfies a c_i -Poincaré inequality. Show that the product distribution $p_1 \otimes p_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes p_N$ satisfies a c -Poincaré inequality with $c = \max\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$.
- (c) Let p be a distribution on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying a c -Poincaré inequality. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function satisfying $\|\nabla \phi(x)\| \leq L$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $X \sim p$, let the distribution of $Y = \phi(X)$ be denoted by q . Show that q satisfies a cL -Poincaré inequality.
- (d) Let p be the uniform distribution on $[0, 1]^n$. Find a constant c such that p satisfies a c -Poincaré inequality.

You may use any results from the lectures, provided you state them clearly.

3

- (a) State and prove the Modified Log-Sobolev Inequality (MLSI). You may use the tensorization property of entropy without proof, and the fact that for a random variable $Y \geq 0$,

$$\text{Ent}(Y) = \inf_{u>0} \mathbb{E} [Y(\log Y - \log u) - (Y - u)].$$

- (b) A non-negative function $f : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *weakly-self-bounding* if there exist functions $f_i : \mathcal{X}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{X}^n$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(f(x) - f_i(x^{(i)}) \right)^2 \leq f(x),$$

and for all $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$f_i(x^{(i)}) \leq f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{X}^n.$$

Suppose $Z = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, where X_1, \dots, X_n are independent random variables on \mathcal{X} and f is a weakly-self-bounding function. Show that for $0 \leq \lambda < 2$,

$$\log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda(Z - \mathbb{E}Z)} \right] \leq \frac{\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[Z]}{(2 - \lambda)}.$$

You may use, without proof, the inequality $\phi(-x) \leq x^2/2$ for $x \geq 0$, where $\phi(t) = e^t - t - 1$. [Hint: Use the MLSI and rewrite the resulting inequality in terms of $\psi(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(Z - \mathbb{E}Z)}$ and its derivative $\psi'(\lambda)$.]

4 Consider a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of n distinct numbers in $[0, 1]$. The positive integers $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n$ form an *increasing sub-sequence* if $x_{i_1} \leq x_{i_2} \leq \dots \leq x_{i_m}$. Let $L(x)$ denote the length of the longest increasing sub-sequence.

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables supported on $[0, 1]$ and let $Z = L(X)$, where $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

(a) Show that $\text{Var}(Z) \leq n/4$.

(b) Show that for $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z > t) \leq e^{-\frac{2t^2}{n}}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z < -t) \leq e^{-\frac{2t^2}{n}}.$$

(c) Show that $\text{Var}(Z) \leq \mathbb{E}[Z]$.

(d) Prove that for $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z < -t) \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\mathbb{E}[Z]}}.$$

You may use any results from the lectures, provided you state them clearly.

END OF PAPER