

MAT3

**MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS**      **Part III**

---

Monday, 5 June, 2023    1:30 pm to 4:30 pm

---

**PAPER 160**

**REPRESENTATION THEORY OF SYMMETRIC GROUPS**

**Before you begin please read these instructions carefully**

Candidates have **THREE HOURS** to complete the written examination.

Attempt no more than **THREE** questions.

There are **FOUR** questions in total.

The questions carry equal weight.

All representations on this exam are assumed to be finite-dimensional.

Unless otherwise stated, they are over the field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS**

Cover sheet

Treasury tag

Script paper

Rough paper

**SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS**

None

<p><b>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</b></p>
---

**1** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \vdash n$ . Define  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda := \langle e(t) \mid t \in \Delta^\lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space spanned by the polytabloids corresponding to  $\lambda$ -tableaux. For  $(i, j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\lambda)$ , define  $c_{i,j}(\lambda) := j - i$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$  is a non-zero cyclic  $\mathbb{C}S_n$ -module.
- (b) Fix any  $\lambda$ -tableau  $t$ .
- (i) Suppose  $\tau \in S_n$  is a transposition. Show that if  $\tau \in R(t)C(t)$ , then  $\tau$  belongs to exactly one of  $R(t)$  and  $C(t)$ .
- (ii) Show that the number of transpositions in  $R(t)$  minus the number of transpositions in  $C(t)$  is  $\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\lambda)} c_{i,j}(\lambda)$ .

Define  $C = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (i \ j) \in \mathbb{C}S_n$ . In (c), you may assume that  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$  is irreducible.

- (c) (i) Briefly explain why  $C \in Z(\mathbb{C}S_n)$  and hence  $C$  acts on  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$  by multiplication by some scalar  $c(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (ii) Prove that

$$c(\lambda) = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\lambda)} c_{i,j}(\lambda).$$

- (d) (i) Show that

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\lambda)} h_{i,j}(\lambda) = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\lambda)} d_{i,j}(\lambda),$$

where  $d_{i,j}(\lambda) = i + j - 1$ . Hence, or otherwise, show that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i^2 = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\lambda)} (h_{i,j}(\lambda) + c_{i,j}(\lambda)).$$

- (ii) Deduce that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\lambda_i^2 + (\lambda'_i)^2) = 2 \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\lambda)} h_{i,j}(\lambda),$$

and

$$\sum_{\mu \vdash n} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i^2 = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\mu)} h_{i,j}(\mu).$$

- (iii) Show that

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\lambda)} h_{i,j}(\lambda)^2 = n^2 + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\lambda)} c_{i,j}(\lambda)^2.$$

**2** For a partition  $\alpha$ , let  $\chi^\alpha$  denote the character of the irreducible  $\alpha$ -Specht module over  $\mathbb{C}$ . In the usual notation from lectures,  $\psi^\lambda = \sum_{\pi \in S_{\mathbb{N}}} \text{sgn}(\pi) \cdot \xi^{\lambda - \text{id} + \pi}$  for integer compositions  $\lambda$ , and  $\text{id}$  is the sequence  $(1, 2, 3, \dots)$ . Throughout, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (a) (i) State the Murnaghan–Nakayama Rule.  
(ii) Let  $\lambda$  be an integer composition of  $n$ . Let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and define  $\mu$  to be the integer composition satisfying  $\mu - \text{id} = (i \ i + 1) \circ (\lambda - \text{id})$ . Show that  $\psi^\mu = -\psi^\lambda$ .  
(iii) Let  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \vdash n$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , define  $\beta_{i,m}$  to be the sequence

$$\beta_{i,m} := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1} - 1, \dots, \alpha_{i+m} - 1, \alpha_i - k + m, \alpha_{i+m+1}, \dots).$$

Fix  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that  $\psi^{\beta_{i,0}} = 0$  if  $\beta_{i,m}$  is not a partition for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

*In parts (b)–(d) below, you may use general results from the course without proof, provided they are stated clearly.*

- (b) Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(S_n)$  and  $g \in S_n$ . If  $\chi(g) \neq 0$ , show that the order of  $g$  divides  $\frac{|S_n|}{\chi(1)}$ .  
(c) Show that for every partition  $\lambda \vdash n$  there exists  $g \in S_n$  such that  $\chi^\lambda(g) \in \{\pm 1\}$ .  
(d) Define  $F$  to be the virtual character

$$F := \chi^{(n)} - \chi^{(n-1,1)} + \chi^{(n-2,2)} - \dots + (-1)^m \cdot \chi^{(n-m,m)}$$

of  $S_n$ , where  $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ .

- (i) Show that there exists  $g \in S_n$  such that  $F(g) \neq 0$  and  $g$  contains a cycle of length  $k$  in its disjoint cycle decomposition satisfying  $k \equiv n \pmod{2}$ .  
(ii) If  $n$  is even, prove that  $F(g) = 0$  for all  $g \in S_n$  whose disjoint cycle decomposition contains a cycle of odd length.  
(iii) Give, with justification, an example of each of the following:  
\* an odd  $n$  and  $g \in S_n$  such that  $g$  contains a cycle of even length in its disjoint cycle decomposition and  $F(g) \neq 0$ ;  
\* an odd  $n$  and  $g \in S_n$  such that  $g$  contains a cycle of even length in its disjoint cycle decomposition and  $F(g) = 0$ .

**3** Given a partition  $\lambda$  and  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $Q_e(\lambda) = (\lambda^{(0)}, \dots, \lambda^{(e-1)})$ ,  $C_e(\lambda)$  and  $\mathbf{w}_e(\lambda)$  denote the  $e$ -quotient,  $e$ -core and  $e$ -weight of  $\lambda$ , respectively.

- (a) (i) Let  $\lambda \vdash n$  and  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that there is a bijection

$$f : \{H_{i,j}(\lambda) \text{ s.t. } e \text{ divides } h_{i,j}(\lambda)\} \rightarrow \{\text{hooks in } Q_e(\lambda)\}$$

such that if  $H = H_{i,j}(\lambda)$  with  $e \mid h_{i,j}(\lambda)$ , then  $|H| = e \cdot |f(H)|$ , and moreover that  $Q_e(\lambda \setminus H) = Q_e(\lambda) \setminus f(H)$ .

[You may assume the following facts without proof: if  $\mathbf{X} = \{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$  is a  $\beta$ -set for  $\lambda$ , then  $h \in \mathcal{H}_i(\lambda)$  if and only if  $0 \leq h_i - h \notin \mathbf{X}$ . Also,  $(\mathbf{X} \setminus \{h_i\}) \sqcup \{h_i - h_{i,j}(\lambda)\}$  is a  $\beta$ -set for  $\lambda \setminus H_{i,j}(\lambda)$ .]

- (ii) Let  $\lambda = (6, 5, 3)$  and  $e = 3$ . For all  $(i, j) \in \mathcal{Y}(\lambda)$  such that  $e \mid h_{i,j}(\lambda)$  and  $f$  as defined in (i), calculate  $f(H_{i,j}(\lambda))$  in the form  $H_{i',j'}(\lambda^{(s)})$ .

*In parts (b) and (c) below, you may use general results from the course without proof, provided they are stated clearly.*

- (b) Is each of the following statements (i) – (iv) true for all partitions  $\lambda$  and all natural numbers  $e$  and  $f$ ? Justify your answers.

[In parts (iii) and (iv), if  $P = (P_1, \dots, P_t)$  is a sequence of  $t$  partitions, then  $C_e(P)$  denotes the sequence  $(C_e(P_1), \dots, C_e(P_t))$ , while  $Q_e(P)$  denotes the concatenation of the sequences  $Q_e(P_1), \dots, Q_e(P_t)$  (so that  $Q_e(P)$  is a sequence of  $te$  partitions).]

- (i) If  $\lambda = C_e(\lambda)$ , then  $\lambda = C_{ef}(\lambda)$ .  
(ii)  $C_e(C_f(\lambda)) = C_f(C_e(\lambda))$ .  
(iii)  $Q_e(Q_f(\lambda))$  is a permutation of  $Q_{ef}(\lambda)$ .  
(iv)  $C_e(Q_f(\lambda)) = Q_f(C_{ef}(\lambda))$ .

- (c) Let  $\lambda \vdash n$  and  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $w \in \mathbb{N}_0$  satisfies  $w \geq \mathbf{w}_e(\lambda)$  and  $we \leq n$ . Suppose  $\rho \in S_n$  is a product of  $w$  disjoint  $e$ -cycles and  $\gamma \in S_{n-we}$  is disjoint from  $\rho$ . Prove that

$$\chi^\lambda(\rho\gamma) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon \cdot \binom{w}{|\lambda^{(0)}|, \dots, |\lambda^{(e-1)}|} \cdot \chi^{C_e(\lambda)}(\gamma) \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{e-1} \chi^{\lambda^{(i)}}(1) & \text{if } w = \mathbf{w}_e(\lambda), \\ 0 & \text{if } w > \mathbf{w}_e(\lambda), \end{cases}$$

where  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Here  $\binom{w}{a, b, \dots, z}$  denotes the multinomial coefficient  $\frac{w!}{a!b!\dots z!}$ .

4 Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an arbitrary field. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \vdash n$ . The  $\lambda$ -Specht module over  $\mathbb{F}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$ , and the  $\lambda$ -Young permutation module over  $\mathbb{F}$  by  $M^\lambda$ .

(a) Let  $t \in \Delta^\lambda$ . Prove that  $\mathbf{b}_t \cdot M^\lambda = \mathbb{F}e(t)$ , where  $\mathbf{b}_t = \sum_{g \in C(t)} \text{sgn}(g)g$ .

Suppose that  $\lambda$  has  $a_j$  parts equal to  $j$ , for each  $j \in [n]$ . That is,  $\lambda = (n^{a_n}, \dots, 2^{a_2}, 1^{a_1})$ .

(b) Define an equivalence relation  $*$  on the set  $\Omega^\lambda$  of  $\lambda$ -tabloids as follows: for  $\omega, v \in \Omega^\lambda$ , we say that  $\omega * v$  if  $v$  may be obtained from  $\omega$  by permuting the rows of  $\omega$ . For example, if  $\lambda = (3, 3, 2)$  and

$$\omega = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 7 & 8 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad v = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 7 & 8 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \tau = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 7 & 8 & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

then  $\omega * v$  but not  $\omega * \tau$ . Let  $t, u \in \Delta^\lambda$  be any two  $\lambda$ -tableaux.

- (i) Define  $A_{tu} := \{\omega \in \Omega^\lambda \mid \langle e(t), \omega \rangle \cdot \langle e(u), \omega \rangle \neq 0\}$ . Show that  $A_{tu}$  is a union of  $*$ -equivalence classes.
- (ii) Hence, or otherwise, show that  $\langle e(t), e(u) \rangle$  is a multiple of  $\prod_{j=1}^n (a_j!)$ .

From now on, suppose  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = p > 0$ .

[In parts (c) – (d) below, you may use the following facts without proof:

Fact 1 : Given any  $t \in \Delta^\lambda$ , there exists  $u \in \Delta^\lambda$  such that  $\langle e(t), e(u) \rangle = \prod_{j=1}^n (a_j!)^j$ .

Fact 2 : Let  $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$ . If  $t \in \Delta^\lambda$  and  $v \in \Delta^\mu$  satisfy  $\mathbf{b}_t \cdot \{v\} \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda \geq \mu$ .]

- (c) (i) Define what it means for  $\lambda$  to be a  $p$ -regular partition, in terms of the multiplicities  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .
- (ii) Show that  $\mathcal{S}^\lambda \not\subseteq (\mathcal{S}^\lambda)^\perp$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is  $p$ -regular.
- (d) Let  $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$  and suppose  $\lambda$  is  $p$ -regular. Let  $V \leq M^\mu$ , and suppose that  $\theta : \mathcal{S}^\lambda \rightarrow \frac{M^\mu}{V}$  is a non-zero  $\mathbb{F}S_n$ -homomorphism. Fix any  $t \in \Delta^\lambda$ .
  - (i) Show that there exists  $u \in \Delta^\lambda$  such that  $\mathbf{b}_t \cdot e(u) = \alpha e(t)$  for some  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .
  - (ii) Hence, or otherwise, show that  $\mathbf{b}_t \cdot \frac{M^\mu}{V} \neq 0$ . Deduce that  $\lambda \geq \mu$ .
  - (iii) Now further assume that  $\lambda = \mu$ . Show that  $\theta(e(t))$  is a scalar multiple of  $e(t) + V$ . Deduce that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}S_n}(\frac{\mathcal{S}^\lambda}{\mathcal{S}^\lambda \cap (\mathcal{S}^\lambda)^\perp}) = 1$ .
- (e) Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two  $p$ -regular partitions of  $n$ . Prove that  $\frac{\mathcal{S}^\alpha}{\mathcal{S}^\alpha \cap (\mathcal{S}^\alpha)^\perp} \cong \frac{\mathcal{S}^\beta}{\mathcal{S}^\beta \cap (\mathcal{S}^\beta)^\perp}$  if and only if  $\alpha = \beta$ .

**END OF PAPER**