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## LIE ALGEBRAS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

## Before you begin please read these instructions carefully

Candidates have THREE HOURS to complete the written examination.
Attempt Question 3 and THREE other questions.
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All Lie algebras are over $\mathbb{C}$.
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1
(i) Let $G$ be an algebraic group. Define the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$, and show that the Lie bracket is antisymmetric and that the Jacobi identity holds.
[If you wish, you may use without proof the identity that for all $x, y, z \in G$,

$$
\left(x, y^{-1}, z\right)^{y} \cdot\left(y, z^{-1}, x\right)^{z} \cdot\left(z, x^{-1}, y\right)^{x}=1
$$

where $(x, y)=x^{-1} y^{-1} x y,(x, y, z)=((x, y), z)$, and $\left.a^{b}=b^{-1} a b.\right]$
(ii) Give an example of two distinct groups $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$.
(iii) For each of those groups $G$, describe the irreducible representations of $G$. [You do not have to prove your answer is correct.]
(iv) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra, define what it means for a bilinear form (, ): $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be invariant. Let (, ) be a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form. Define the Casimir element $\Omega$ and show that $\Omega x=x \Omega$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.
(v) Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$. Write $\Omega$ explicitly in terms of the standard basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $L$ is an irreducible module for $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension $n+1$, then $\Omega$ acts on $L$ by multiplication by some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Compute $\lambda$.
(vi) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, and $\mathfrak{s}$ a subalgebra. If $L$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, must $L$ be completely reducible as a representation of $\mathfrak{s}$ ? [You must justify your answer.]

## 2

(i) State Cartan's criteria for a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ to be solvable.
(ii) Let $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie algebra. Define what it means for $\mathfrak{t}$ to be a torus, and define the weight space decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ a maximal torus, and suppose $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}$ is the weight space decomposition.
Further suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ has a non-degenerate trace form $(,)_{V}$.
Prove that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ is an abelian Lie algebra of dimension $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{t}-1$ and the direct sum is as Lie algebras- $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ commute.
(iii) Find a representation $V$ of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s l}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}$ abelian, such that the induced trace form is non-degenerate.

3
Let

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}_{2 n}=\left\{A \in M a t_{2 n} \mid A J+J A^{T}=0\right\}
$$

where $J=\left(\begin{array}{llllll} & & & & & \\ & & & & . & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & . & & & \\ & & & & & \end{array}\right)$, and let $\mathfrak{t}=$ diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{g}$.
(i) Decompose $\mathfrak{g}$ as a $\mathfrak{t}$-module, and hence write the roots $R$ for $\mathfrak{g}$. Choose positive roots to be those occurring in upper triangular matrices. Write down the positive roots $R^{+}$, the simple roots $\Pi$, the highest root $\theta$, and the fundamental weights. Write down $\rho$.

Write the root lattice $P$, the weight lattice $Q$, and determine $Q / P$.
Draw the Dynkin diagram and label it by simple roots. Draw the extended Dynkin diagram.
(ii) Show that $\mathfrak{s o}_{6} \simeq \mathfrak{s l}_{4}$.
(iii) For each root $\alpha \in R$, write the reflection $s_{\alpha}: \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ explicitly. Describe the Weyl group $W$ (you do not need to prove your answer).

4
(i) State the Weyl character formula and the Weyl dimension formula, briefly defining the notation you use.
(ii) Draw the root system of $G_{2}$, and the fundamental weights $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$.

Let $\omega_{1}$ denote the shorter fundamental weight.
Show that the representation with highest weight $\omega_{2}$ is the adjoint representation.
Draw the weights of the representation with highest weight $\omega_{1}$, and its crystal.
Write down the dimension of the irreducible representation with highest weight $n_{1} \omega_{1}+n_{2} \omega_{2}, \quad n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 5

Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}_{2 n+1}$. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, with $\alpha_{n}$ the short simple root. Let $\omega_{n}$ be the fundamental weight dual to $\alpha_{n}^{\vee}$.

Using the Littelmann path model, or otherwise, show that the crystal $\mathbb{S}=B\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ of the representation $L\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{s o}_{2 n+1}$ is as follows: $B=\left\{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \mid i_{j}= \pm 1\right\}$ with $w t\left(\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum i_{j} e_{j} \in P$, and

$$
\widetilde{e_{j}}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(i_{1}, \ldots,+1,-1, \ldots, i_{n}\right) & \text { if }\left(i_{j}, i_{j+1}\right)=(-1,+1) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, and

$$
\widetilde{e_{n}}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n-1}, 1\right) & \text { if } i_{n}=-1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

When $n=3$ draw the crystal $\mathbb{S}$ and decompose $\mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}$ into irreducibles.
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