MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS Part III

Wednesday, 8 June, 2022 9:00 am to 12:00 pm

PAPER 211

ADVANCED FINANCIAL MODELS

Before you begin please read these instructions carefully

Candidates have THREE HOURS to complete the written examination.

Attempt no more than **FOUR** questions. There are **SIX** questions in total. The questions carry equal weight.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS None

Cover sheet Treasury tag Script paper Rough paper

> You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.

CAMBRIDGE

1 Consider a discrete-time market with n assets. At time t, asset i pays a dividend δ_t^i and its price immediately after the dividend payment is P_t^i . Assume the dividend and price processes are adapted to a filtration. Adopt the notation

$$X_t^{x,H} = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } t = 0\\ H_t \cdot (\delta_t + P_t) & \text{if } t \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$C_t^{x,H} = X_t^{x,H} - H_{t+1} \cdot P_t$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and *n*-dimensional previsible process H.

(a) Briefly explain the economic interpretation of the processes $X^{x,H}$ and $C^{x,H}$. In terms of this notation, what is a *numéraire strategy*?

(b) Let x and H be such that $X_t^{x,H} > C_t^{x,H} \ge 0$ for all $t \ge 0$. Show that there exists a numéraire strategy.

Now suppose that there exists a numéraire strategy η and let $N_t = X_t^{\nu,\eta}$ for $t \ge 0$, where $\nu = \eta_1 \cdot P_0$.

(c) Given x and H, find another strategy K such that

$$C_t^{x,K} = 0$$

$$X_t^{x,K} = X_t^{x,H} + N_t \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \frac{C_s^{x,H}}{N_s}$$

for all $t \ge 0$.

(d) Let

$$\tilde{P}_t = P_t + N_t \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{\delta_s}{N_s}$$

for all $t \ge 0$. Consider a new market with *n* new assets, where new asset *i* pays no dividend and has time-*t* price \tilde{P}_t^i . Adopt the notation

$$\tilde{X}_t^{x,H} = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } t = 0\\ H_t \cdot \tilde{P}_t & \text{if } t \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{C}_t^{x,H} = \tilde{X}_t^{x,H} - H_{t+1} \cdot \tilde{P}_t$$

Given x and H, find a strategy K such that

$$C_t^{x,K} = \tilde{C}_t^{x,H}$$
$$X_t^{x,K} = \tilde{X}_t^{x,H}$$

for all $t \ge 0$.

Part III, Paper 211

 $\mathbf{2}$

Consider a discrete-time market of N zero-coupon bonds of maturities 1, 2, ..., N, each with risk-free unit payout. Let P_t^T be the time-t price of the bond with maturity T. Assume that there is no arbitrage in this market.

(a) Explain why there exists a positive adapted process $Y = (Y_t)_{t \ge 0}$ such that

$$P_t^T = \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y_T | \mathcal{F}_t)}{Y_t}.$$

You may use any result from the course without proof, as long as it is carefully stated.

Let

$$r_t = \frac{1}{P_{t-1}^t} - 1.$$

(b) Suppose that $r_t \ge 0$ for all $t \ge 1$. Prove that the process Y from (a) is a supermartingale.

(c) Fix $T \leq N$ and consider a European contingent claim with time T payout $\xi_T = r_T - f$, where f is a constant. Find a strategy of trading zero-coupon bonds that replicates this claim. Show that if

$$f = \frac{P_0^{T-1}}{P_0^T} - 1$$

then the initial no-arbitrage price of the claim is $\xi_0 = 0$.

(d) An interest rate swap is a contract that makes T payments of the amount $r_t - s$ at each time $t = 1, \ldots, T$, where s is a constant. If the initial no-arbitrage price of this claim is zero, find s in terms of the initial bond prices P_0^1, \ldots, P_0^T .

3

Consider a one-period market of n assets with the time-t price of asset i denoted P_t^i . No asset pays a dividend.

(a) What does it mean to say a contingent claim can be *replicated*? What does it mean to say that the market is *complete*?

Now suppose that the market is complete.

(b) Show that the sample space can be partitioned into at most n events of positive probability.

(c) Suppose that $P_0 = \mathbb{E}(XP_1) = \mathbb{E}(YP_1)$ for random variables X and Y. Show that X = Y almost surely.

(d) Let Q be the $n \times n$ matrix $Q = \mathbb{E}(P_1 P_1^{\top})$, where the notation A^{\top} denotes the transpose of the matrix A. Suppose that Q is positive definite, and let $Z = P_1^{\top} Q^{-1} P_0$. Assuming the market has no arbitrage, show that Z > 0 almost surely. [You may use a fundamental theorem of asset pricing if carefully stated.]

CAMBRIDGE

4 Given a *n*-dimensional adapted process M and *n*-dimensional previsible process H, let

$$X_t = \sum_{s=1}^t H_s \cdot (M_s - M_{s-1}).$$

(a) Show that if M is a martingale, then X is a local martingale. [You may use without proof the fact that the martingale transform of a *bounded* previsible process with respect to a martingale is a martingale.]

For the rest of the problem, the assumption that ${\cal M}$ is a martingale is now dropped. Let

$$T = \inf\{t \ge 1 : \mathbb{P}(X_t \ge 0) = 1 \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(X_t > 0) > 0\}$$

and suppose $T < \infty$. Let

$$\theta = \frac{H_T}{\|H_T\|} \mathbf{1}_{\{H_T \neq 0, X_{T-1} \leqslant 0\}}$$

and $\xi = \theta \cdot (M_T - M_{T-1}).$

- (b) Show that $\xi \ge 0$ almost surely.
- (c) Show that $\mathbb{P}(\xi > 0) = \mathbb{P}(X_T > 0, X_{T-1} = 0) + \mathbb{P}(X_{T-1} < 0).$

(d) Consider three cases:

- (i) Case $\mathbb{P}(X_{T-1} = 0) = 1$.
- (ii) Case $\mathbb{P}(X_{T-1} < 0) > 0$.
- (iii) Case $\mathbb{P}(X_{T-1} = 0) < 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(X_{T-1} < 0) = 0$.

Show that in cases (i) and (ii) that $\mathbb{P}(\xi > 0) > 0$. Show that case (iii) is impossible.

(e) Show that M cannot be a martingale.

 $\mathbf{5}$

Consider a continuous-time market with *n*-dimensional Itô process $P = (P_t)_{t \ge 0}$ modelling the prices of *n* assets. No asset pays a dividend.

(a) What does it mean to say a *n*-dimensional previsible process H is a *self-financing strategy*? What does it mean to say a self-financing strategy H is *admissible*? What does it mean to say that a real-valued Itô process Y is a *local martingale deflator*?

(b) Let H be an admissible self-financing strategy and Y a local martingale deflator. Let $X_t = H_t \cdot P_t$ for all $t \ge 0$. Show that the process XY is a supermartingale.

(c) Fix an initial wealth $X_0 > 0$ and time horizon T > 0, and consider the problem of maximising the expected utility $\mathbb{E}[U(X_T)]$ over admissible self-financing strategies Hwhere $X_t = H_t \cdot P_t$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, and where $U : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is increasing, concave and differentiable.

Let $\hat{U}(y) = \sup_{x \ge 0} \{ U(x) - xy \}$. Show that the inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[U(X_T)] \leqslant \mathbb{E}[\hat{U}(Y_T)] + X_0 Y_0$$

holds for any admissible self-financing H and any local martingale deflator Y, and that there is equality if $U'(X_T) = Y_T$ and XY is a true martingale.

(d) Now consider the case where n = 2 and P = (B, S), where

$$dB_t = B_t r \ dt$$
$$dS_t = S_t (\mu \ dt + \sigma \ dW_t)$$

where r, μ, σ, B_0, S_0 are real constants such that $\sigma, B_0, S_0 > 0$ and where W is a Brownian motion. Show that there is a local martingale deflator Y with dynamics of the form

$$dY_t = -Y_t(r \ dt + \lambda \ dW_t)$$

where λ is a constant to be expressed in terms of the constants r, μ and σ . Show that if $H = (\phi, \pi)$ is a self-financing strategy and $X = \phi B + \pi S$ then

$$d(X_t Y_t) = Y_t (\pi_t S_t \sigma - X_t \lambda) dW_t$$

(e) Reconsider the utility maximisation problem of part (c) in the case where $U(x) = \log x$ and $\hat{U}(y) = -\log y - 1$ in the context of the model in part (d). Show that

$$\mathbb{E}(\log X_T) \leq \log X_0 + (r + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2)T$$

with equality if $\pi_t = \frac{X_t \lambda}{S_t \sigma}$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.

[You may use standard results from stochastic calculus as long as they are stated clearly. You may assume all integrands are suitably integrable so that the stochastic integrals are well-defined.] CAMBRIDGE

6 Let

$$dX_t = -\frac{1}{2}Z_t^2 dt + Z_t dW_t^X$$
$$dZ_t = B(Z_t)dt + C(Z_t)dW_t^Z$$

where W^X and W^Z are Brownian motions with constant correlation ρ . Fix a time horizon T > 0 and a function g, and let the smooth function U on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ solve the PDE

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + B\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2}C^2\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial z^2} + zC\rho\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial z\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}z^2\left(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right) = 0$$

with terminal condition

$$U(T, z, x) = g(x)$$
 for all $z, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Show that the process $(M_t)_{0 \le t \le T}$ defined by $M_t = U(t, Z_t, X_t)$ is a local martingale.

Now suppose that $g(x) = e^{\theta x}$ for a constant θ .

(b) By making the substitution $U(t, z, x) = e^{\theta x}V(t, z)$ derive a PDE for V. What is the terminal condition?

Specialise to the case where B(z) = a - bz and C(z) = c for some constants a, b and c.

(c) Show there is a solution to the PDE derived in part (b) of the form

$$V(t,z) = e^{P(T-t) + Q(T-t)z + R(T-t)z^{2}}$$

for function P, Q and R satisfying a system of ordinary differential equations

$$\dot{R} = F(R)$$

 $\dot{Q} = G(Q, R)$
 $\dot{P} = H(Q, R)$

where \dot{R} denotes the derivative of R, etc., and the functions F, G and H should be given explicitly in terms of the parameters a, b, c, ρ and θ .

[You may use standard facts from stochastic calculus without proof, as long as they are clearly stated.]

END OF PAPER

Part III, Paper 211