

**MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS**      **Part III**

---

Wednesday, 8 June, 2022    9:00 am to 12:00 pm

---

**PAPER 120**

**LOGIC AND COMPUTABILITY**

**Before you begin please read these instructions carefully**

Candidates have **THREE HOURS** to complete the written examination.

Attempt **ALL** questions.

There are **THREE** questions in total.

Question 1 carries 40 marks. Questions 2 and 3 carry 30 marks each.

**STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS**

Cover sheet  
Treasury tag  
Script paper  
Rough paper

**SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS**

None

<p><b>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</b></p>
---

- 1 (a) Briefly describe the *Curry–Howard correspondence* between intuitionistic propositional logic (IPC) and the simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus. Your answer should explain what types, type constructors, variables, and simply typed  $\lambda$ -terms correspond to within IPC.
- (b) What is a *Heyting algebra*? Show that every Heyting algebra is a distributive lattice.
- (c) Provide a Kripke model that does not force the proposition  $(\neg\neg p \rightarrow q) \vee (\neg\neg q \rightarrow p)$ . [You do not need to show that it is a Kripke model.]
- (d) An *implicational proposition* is one containing no logical connectives other than  $\rightarrow$ . The *implicational fragment of intuitionistic propositional calculus*  $\text{IPC}(\rightarrow)$  admits only implicational propositions, along with the  $(\rightarrow)$ -introduction and  $(\rightarrow)$ -elimination rules and the axiom scheme:  $\Gamma, \phi \vdash_{\text{IPC}(\rightarrow)} \phi$  for every set of implicational propositions  $\Gamma$  and implicational proposition  $\phi$ .

Prove that  $\text{IPC}(\rightarrow)$  is complete with respect to Kripke models, i.e.,  $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{IPC}(\rightarrow)} \phi$  iff for all Kripke models  $(S, \leq, \Vdash)$ , the condition  $S \Vdash \Gamma$  implies  $S \Vdash \phi$ .

Let  $\phi$  be an implicational formula and  $\Gamma$  be a set of implicational formulae. Conclude that if  $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{IPC}} \phi$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{IPC}(\rightarrow)} \phi$ .

[You may use the soundness theorem for the Kripke semantics without proof.]

- 2 (a) Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory and  $n$  be a natural number.

Define what an  $n$ -type of  $\mathcal{T}$  is.

Define when such an  $n$ -type is *isolated* and when it is *omitted* in an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}$ .

State the *omitting types theorem*.

- (b) Let  $I$  be an infinite set. Show that if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a non-principal ultrafilter on  $I$  and  $X \subseteq I$  is finite, then  $(I \setminus X) \in \mathcal{U}$ .

State *Łoś's theorem*. Use it to construct an example of an infinite field of characteristic  $p$  for any given prime number  $p$ . [You may assume the existence of non-principal ultrafilters on any infinite set, as well as any algebraic fact you clearly state, without proof.]

- (c) State the *Ehrenfeucht–Mostowski theorem*.

Show that if  $\mathcal{T}$  is a first-order theory admitting infinite models, then  $\mathcal{T}$  has models with arbitrarily large group of automorphisms. [You may use any result from the notes without proof.]

**3** (a) Define the *Church numeral*  $c_n$  corresponding to a given natural number  $n$ .

What does it mean to say that a function  $\mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is  $\lambda$ -definable?

Show that the successor function  $\text{succ}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is  $\lambda$ -definable.

(b) Define what it means for a combinator (i.e., a  $\lambda$ -term without free variables) to be a *fixed point combinator*.

State and prove the *fixed point theorem* for the untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus.

Explain why the fixed point theorem for the untyped  $\lambda$ -calculus does not imply that the successor function  $\text{succ}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  has fixed points, even though it is  $\lambda$ -definable.

(c) Show that the set of all fixed point combinators is recursively enumerable.

[You may assume that the set  $\Lambda^0$  of all combinators is recursively enumerable and use any results from the course without proof.]

**END OF PAPER**