

MAT3, MAMA, NST3AS

**MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS**      **Part III**

---

Monday, 10 June, 2019    1:30 pm to 3:30 pm

---

**PAPER 344**

**THEORETICAL PHYSICS OF SOFT CONDENSED MATTER**

*Full marks can be achieved by complete answers to **TWO** questions.*

*If you hand in more than two answers, all will be marked but the lowest mark is liable to be discounted.*

*There are **THREE** questions in total.*

*The questions carry equal weight.*

**STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS**

*Cover sheet*

*Treasury Tag*

*Script paper*

*Rough paper*

**SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS**

*None*

|                                                                                                                                     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><b>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</b></p> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

1 Answer all parts of the question.

Assume  $\beta \equiv 1/k_B T = 1$  throughout this question.

The composition  $\phi(\mathbf{r})$  in a certain system obeys  $\int \phi \, d\mathbf{r} = 0$  and, is governed by a free energy functional

$$H = \int \left( \frac{a}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} (\nabla^2 \phi)^2 + B (\nabla \phi)^2 \phi^2 \right) d\mathbf{r}. \quad (1)$$

(a) Show that, for negative  $\kappa$  and  $B = 0$ , upon reducing  $a$  the system becomes unstable to smectic ordering at wavenumber  $q_0 = (-\kappa/2\gamma)^{1/2}$ .

(b) Assuming a functional form  $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = A \cos(q_0 z)$ , where  $z$  is an arbitrary direction, find for nonzero  $B$  the resulting mean-field free energy per unit volume as a function of the smectic amplitude  $A$ , and show that at this level a continuous transition is predicted at some  $a = a_c$  which you should find.

(c) Starting from the identity

$$e^{-F} = e^{-F_0} \langle e^{-(H-H_0)} \rangle_0,$$

explain the basis of the Feynman-Bogoliubov inequality  $F \leq F_0 - \langle H_0 \rangle_0 + \langle H \rangle_0$ , where  $\langle X \rangle_0$  denotes  $Z_0^{-1} \int X e^{-H_0} D[\phi]$ .

(d) In Fourier variables  $\phi_{\mathbf{q}}$ , Eq.(1) can be written

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{q}}^+ G(q) \phi_{\mathbf{q}} \phi_{-\mathbf{q}} + \frac{B}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3} (-\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2) \phi_{\mathbf{q}_1} \phi_{\mathbf{q}_2} \phi_{\mathbf{q}_3} \phi_{-\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3} \quad (2)$$

where  $\sum^+$  denotes a sum over the half space  $q_x > 0$  (say), and  $G(q) = a + \kappa q^2 + \gamma q^4$ . (You are not asked to prove Eq.(2).)

Choosing as trial functional  $H_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{q}}^+ J(q) \phi_{\mathbf{q}} \phi_{-\mathbf{q}}$ , for which  $F_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{q}}^+ \ln(J(q)/\pi)$  and  $\langle H_0 \rangle_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{q}}^+ 1$ , use the property of zero-mean Gaussian random variables  $\langle X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 \rangle = \langle X_1 X_2 \rangle \langle X_3 X_4 \rangle + \langle X_1 X_3 \rangle \langle X_2 X_4 \rangle + \langle X_1 X_4 \rangle \langle X_2 X_3 \rangle$  to establish that

$$F \leq \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \left( \ln(J(k)/\pi) - 1 + \frac{G(k)}{J(k)} \right) + \frac{4B}{V} \left[ \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \frac{k^2}{J(k)} \right] \left[ \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \frac{1}{J(k)} \right],$$

after excluding contributions that are negligible for  $V$  large and/or vanish by symmetry.

(e) By minimizing over  $J(q)$ , and then taking the large  $V$  limit so that  $\sum_{\mathbf{k}}^+ X(k) \rightarrow \frac{V}{2(2\pi)^d} \int X(k) d\mathbf{k}$ , show that the least upper bound on  $F$  arises for the variational choice

$$J(q) = \bar{a} + \bar{\kappa} q^2 + \gamma q^4 \quad (3)$$

and give a pair of coupled integral equations satisfied by  $\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{\kappa}$ .

(f) Give a brief reasoned argument for whether the transition to the smectic phase should be continuous or discontinuous in this model.

2 Answer all parts of the question.

A system is described by a coarse-grained vector order parameter field  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  which obeys the Langevin equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\Gamma \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}, t)} + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)$$

where  $F[\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r})]$  is the free energy,  $\Gamma$  a constant coefficient and  $\mathbf{f}$  is Gaussian white noise of probability density  $\mathbb{P}[\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)] = \mathcal{N} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \int |\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 d\mathbf{r} dt \right]$  with  $\mathcal{N}$  a normalization constant. The noise is caused by coupling to a heat bath at temperature  $T$ .

(a) State the probability density  $\mathbb{P}_F[\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}, t)]$  for a time evolution  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  connecting an initial configuration  $\mathbf{p}_1(\mathbf{r}, t_1)$  of free energy  $F_1$ , to a final configuration  $\mathbf{p}_2(\mathbf{r}, t_2)$  of free energy  $F_2$ . Write down  $\mathbb{P}_B[\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}, t)]$ , the probability density for the time-reversed trajectory, and briefly explain why the two expressions have the same normalization factor.

(b) Show that

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}_F}{\mathbb{P}_B} = \exp \left[ -\frac{2\Gamma}{\sigma^2} \int_1^2 \dot{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{p}} d\mathbf{r} dt \right],$$

and explain why, if the underlying microscopic dynamics has time-reversal symmetry, this implies that  $\sigma^2 = 2\Gamma k_B T$ .

(c) Consider the case where  $\delta F / \delta \mathbf{p} = a\mathbf{p} - \kappa \nabla^2 \mathbf{p}$  with  $a, \kappa > 0$ . Suppose the system was put in contact with the heat bath in the remote past ( $t = -\infty$ ) and undisturbed since then. Establish that in Fourier variables  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{q}}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{q}}(t') \exp[-r(q)(t-t')] dt'$ , and give an expression for  $r(q)$ . Hence or otherwise show that the spatiotemporal correlations of the order parameter obey

$$\langle p_{\mathbf{q},i}(t_1) p_{-\mathbf{q},j}(t_2) \rangle = \delta_{ij} \frac{k_B T}{a + \kappa q^2} \exp[-r(q)|t_1 - t_2|]$$

where  $p_{\mathbf{q},i}$  is the  $i$ th Cartesian component of the vector  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{q}}$ . You may assume without proof that  $\langle f_{\mathbf{q},i}(t) f_{-\mathbf{q},j}(t') \rangle = 2k_B T \Gamma \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t')$ .

(d) The system's dynamics is now changed, allowing the order parameter to relax by two independent channels, one corresponding to nonconserved dynamics and the other conserved. The equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_j(\mathbf{r}, t) &= -\nabla_i W_{ij} - \Gamma \frac{\delta F}{\delta p_j(\mathbf{r}, t)} + f_j(\mathbf{r}, t), \\ W_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= -M \nabla_i \frac{\delta F}{\delta p_j(\mathbf{r}, t)} + N_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, t). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $W_{ij}$  is the flux along  $i$  of  $p_j$  and, alongside  $f_j$  as defined above,  $N_{ij}$  is a Gaussian white noise obeying  $\mathbb{P}[N_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, t)] = \mathcal{N}_N \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_N^2} \int N_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, t) N_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, t) d\mathbf{r} dt \right]$ . Construct the forward and backward path probabilities  $\mathbb{P}_{F,B}[\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}, t), \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{r}, t)]$  for the joint time evolution of  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$ , and give an expression for their ratio. It may help to note that, by the usual law of conditional probabilities,  $\mathbb{P}[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{W}] = \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{W}]\mathbb{P}[\mathbf{W}]$ .

(e) Assuming that  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  both obey periodic boundary conditions in space, show that microscopic reversibility remains satisfied if both  $\sigma^2 = 2\Gamma k_B T$  and  $\sigma_N^2 = 2M k_B T$ .

**3**     *Answer all parts of the question.*

A system has conserved scalar order parameter  $\phi(\mathbf{r})$  and a free energy  $F = \int \mathbb{F} d\mathbf{r}$  where  $\mathbb{F} = f(\phi) + \frac{\kappa}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2$  and  $f(\phi) = \frac{a}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{b}{4}\phi^4$ .

(a) Show by minimizing  $F$  that at mean-field level, for negative  $a$ , bulk phases coexist with  $\pm\phi_B$  where  $\phi_B = (-a/b)^{1/2}$ . Show that the same result can be viewed as requiring equality between phases of the bulk chemical potential  $\mu = f'(\phi)$  and bulk pressure  $\Pi = \mu\phi - f$ .

(b) Consider a 3D droplet geometry, with droplet radius  $R$  large compared to the interfacial width  $\xi_0$ . Show by force balance that the bulk pressures inside and outside the droplet must differ by a Laplace pressure term  $2\gamma/R$  where  $\gamma$  is the interfacial tension. [You are *not* asked to calculate  $\xi_0$  or  $\gamma$  in terms of  $a, b, \kappa$ ]. Show that this leads to a modified coexistence condition  $\phi = \pm\phi_B + \delta$  where  $\delta = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha\phi_B R}$  with  $\alpha = f''(\pm\phi_B)$ . Why are the chemical potentials still equal?

(c) Consider now a 3D droplet of  $\phi = \phi_B + \delta$  residing within a bulk phase that has  $\phi = -\phi_B$  at infinity. Supposing the coexistence condition from (b) to still apply locally, and considering a quasi-static exterior solution  $\nabla^2\mu = 0$  of the diffusion equation  $\dot{\phi} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}$ , calculate the current  $\mathbf{J} = -M\nabla\mu$  leaving the droplet surface and use this to find the time derivative of the radius  $R$ . Exterior to the droplet you may neglect gradient contributions to the local chemical potential  $\mu = \delta F/\delta\phi$  and linearise its  $\phi$  dependence.

(d) Show that the droplet evaporates entirely in a time  $\tau(R_0) = 2\phi_B^2 R_0^3/(3M\gamma)$  where  $R_0$  is its initial radius.

(e) Suppose now that a similar droplet of radius  $R$  has its centre maintained at a fixed distance  $h$  (with  $h - R \gg \xi_0$ ) from a flat interface beyond which lies an infinite bulk of the  $\phi = \phi_B$  phase. Again consider a quasi-static solution and neglect higher gradient terms in  $\mu$ ; furthermore, assume that the droplet remains spherical as it shrinks. By establishing an analogy between  $\nabla^2\mu = 0$  with the appropriate boundary conditions and an electrostatics problem, or otherwise, show that the total current  $\int \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$  leaving the droplet surface is modified from that in part (c) above by a factor  $c(R/h) \equiv C(R, h)/C(R, \infty)$  where  $C(R, h)$  is the electrostatic capacitance of a conducting sphere of radius  $R$  whose centre is at a distance  $h$  from an infinite plane conductor.

(f) A closed-form approximation to the function  $c(y)$  can be found in the electrostatics literature as

$$c(y) \simeq 1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + y).$$

Within this approximation, calculate the leading order correction in small  $R_0/h$  to the evaporation time  $\tau(R_0)$  as defined in part (d).

**END OF PAPER**