

**MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS**      **Part III**

---

Tuesday, 5 June, 2018    1:30 pm to 4:30 pm

---

**PAPER 102**

**LIE ALGEBRAS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS**

*Attempt **ALL** questions.*

*There are **FIVE** questions in total.*

*Questions 1 and 4 are worth 15 points each.*

*Question 2 is worth 30 points.*

*Questions 3 and 5 are worth 20 points each.*

***STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS***

*Cover sheet*

*Treasury Tag*

*Script paper*

***SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS***

*Triangular graph paper*

<p><b>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</b></p>
---

## 1

(a) State 3 equivalent conditions for a finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  to be *semisimple*, briefly defining any terms you use.

Now let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a 3-dimensional Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with basis  $x, y, z$ , and bracket determined by  $[xy] = z, [xz] = [yz] = 0$ . [Note that you do not need to prove  $\mathfrak{h}$  forms a Lie algebra.]

(b) Is  $\mathfrak{h}$  semisimple? Prove or disprove it. [You may use any of the equivalent conditions from part (a), but prove any other result you use.]

(c) Is every finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{h}$  completely reducible? Prove it or give a counterexample.

## 2

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  of rank  $\ell$  with Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  and corresponding root system  $\Phi$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a root basis in  $\Phi$ , and let  $\Phi^+$  be the corresponding set of positive roots. Given  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) := \{y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [yx] = 0\}$  be the centralizer of  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Given  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , let

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}.$$

[You may use without proof that  $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ .]

(a) Define what it means for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  to be a *dominant weight*. Given a representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , define what it means for  $v \in V$  to be a *highest-weight vector*.

(b) Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Suppose  $v \in V_{\lambda}$  is a highest-weight vector. Prove that  $\lambda$  is a dominant weight. [You may use any results about the representation theory of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  and about the root-space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  without proof.]

(c) Now suppose  $\Phi$  is  $G_2$  and  $\alpha \in \Phi$  is a short root. Decompose the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into irreducible  $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha}$ -modules, i.e. find integers  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} \simeq V(n_1) \oplus \dots \oplus V(n_m)$  as a representation of  $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Given a nonzero element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ , find  $\dim Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)$ . Briefly explain your logic.

(d) Now  $\Phi$  is again arbitrary. Show that if  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , then  $\dim Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) \geq 3\ell - 2$ . [You may use any results from the course.]

3

Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_6 = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}_6(\mathbb{C}) \mid xJ + Jx^T = 0\}$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  be the space of diagonal matrices in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $\Phi$  be the roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}$ . For parts (a) - (d), you do not need to provide proofs for your answers.

- (a) Explicitly describe the elements of  $\Phi$  as maps  $\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .
- (b) Identify a root basis  $\Delta \subset \Phi$ . Draw and label the Dynkin diagram of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- (c) For each  $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ , explicitly describe the image under the simple reflection  $w_{\alpha_i}$  of each element of  $\Delta$ .
- (d) Describe an automorphism of  $\Phi$  that is not given by an element of the Weyl group.
- (e) Briefly explain why  $\mathfrak{so}_6 \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_4$ . [You may use any result from the course.]

4

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  and corresponding root system  $\Phi$ . Let  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$  be a choice of root basis and let  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\ell\}$  be the fundamental weights with respect to this choice of  $\Delta$ .

- (a) State the Weyl dimension formula, briefly defining the notation you use.
- (b) Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ , and assume  $\alpha_1$  is a short root. Let  $\lambda = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$  be a dominant weight. Using the Weyl dimension formula, find a formula for  $\dim V(\lambda)$  in terms of  $a$  and  $b$ . [You do not need to prove the Weyl dimension formula.]
- (c) Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $V$  be the defining 4-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Find the highest weight of  $V$  in terms of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ . Decompose  $V \otimes V$  into irreducible subrepresentations, i.e. find dominant weights  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  such that  $V \otimes V \simeq V(\lambda_1) \oplus \dots \oplus V(\lambda_n)$  as a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

## 5

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$ , corresponding root system  $\Phi$ , Weyl group  $W$ , and weight lattice  $X$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a root basis in  $\Phi$ , and let  $\Phi^+$  be the corresponding set of positive roots. Given  $\lambda \in X$ , let

$$W\lambda = \{w(\lambda) \mid w \in W\}$$

be the orbit of  $\lambda$  under the Weyl group. An irreducible representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called *minuscule* if there exists  $\lambda \in X$  such that if  $V_\mu \neq 0$  then  $\mu \in W\lambda$ . [In the following, you may use any results from the course as long as you state them clearly.]

(a) Suppose  $V(\lambda)$  is a minuscule representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for  $\lambda \in X$ . Write the formal character of  $V(\lambda)$  in terms of  $W\lambda$ .

(b) Show that if  $\lambda$  is a dominant weight and  $V(\lambda)$  is minuscule, then  $\langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle \leq 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ .

(c) Show that if  $X = \mathbb{Z}\Phi$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  has no nontrivial minuscule irreducible representations.

**END OF PAPER**