

### MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS Part III

Tuesday, 6 June, 2017  $\,$  9:00 am to 12:00 pm

## **PAPER 305**

## THE STANDARD MODEL

Attempt no more than **THREE** questions. There are **FOUR** questions in total. The questions carry equal weight.

### STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

Cover sheet Treasury Tag Script paper **SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS** None

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.

# CAMBRIDGE

1

(a) The  $4 \times 4$  matrix B is defined so that

$$B^{-1}\gamma^{\mu*}B = \begin{cases} \gamma^{\mu} & \mu = 0\\ -\gamma^{\mu} & \mu = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Show that  $B^{-1}\gamma^{5*}B = \gamma^5$  where  $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ .

(b) The time-reversal operator  $\hat{T}$  is anti-linear,  $\hat{T}(\alpha |\phi\rangle + \beta |\psi\rangle) = \alpha^* \hat{T} |\phi\rangle + \beta^* \hat{T} |\psi\rangle$ . By making an appropriate assumption (which you should state) about how momentum eigenstates transform under  $\hat{T}$  and expanding in terms of such eigenstates, show that  $\langle \hat{T}\phi | \hat{T}\psi \rangle = \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^*$  for arbitrary scalar states  $|\phi\rangle$  and  $|\psi\rangle$ , i.e.  $\hat{T}$  is an anti-unitary operator.

(c) Recall the expansion for a Dirac field  $\psi(x)$ ,

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{p,s} \left[ b^s(p) u^s(p) e^{-ip \cdot x} + d^{s\dagger}(p) v^s(p) e^{ip \cdot x} \right] \,.$$

Show that under time reversal,

$$\hat{T}\psi(x)\hat{T}^{-1} = B\psi(x_T)\,,$$

where  $x_T^{\mu} = (-x^0, \vec{x})$ . [The intrinsic phase  $\eta_T = 1$  in this question and you may assume that

$$\hat{T}b^{s}(p)\hat{T}^{-1} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}b^{-s}(p_{T}),$$
$$\hat{T}d^{s\dagger}(p)\hat{T}^{-1} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}d^{-s\dagger}(p_{T}),$$
$$(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}u^{-s*}(p_{T}) = \gamma^{5}Cu^{s}(p),$$
$$(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-s}v^{-s*}(p_{T}) = \gamma^{5}Cv^{s}(p),$$

where  $p_T^{\mu} = (p^0, -\vec{p})$  and as part of your derivation you should find a relation between B and C.]

(d) For the remainder of this question you may assume that  $\hat{T}\bar{\psi}(x)\hat{T}^{-1} = \bar{\psi}(x_T)B^{-1}$ .

Consider a U(1) gauge theory with gauge field  $A_{\mu}(x)$ . Given that the term in the Lagrangian,  $gA_{\mu}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$ , where g is a real constant, leads to an interaction which is time-reversal invariant, determine how  $A_{\mu}(x)$  transforms under  $\hat{T}$ .

How does  $ia\bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\psi F_{\mu\nu}$  transform under  $\hat{T}$ , where *a* is a real constant,  $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}]$  and  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ ? Could such an interaction arise in the Standard Model?

(e) Explain briefly why C violation and CP violation are required for baryogenesis. What are the other two conditions required?

#### Part III, Paper 305

## CAMBRIDGE

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

(a) Consider the electroweak part of the Standard Model with gauge group  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ . The covariant derivative acting on the complex scalar doublet  $\phi$  is

$$D_{\mu}\phi = \left(\partial_{\mu} + igW^{a}_{\mu}\tau^{a} + \frac{i}{2}g'B_{\mu}\right)\phi,$$

where  $W^a_{\mu}$  are the three  $SU(2)_L$  gauge bosons and  $B_{\mu}$  is the  $U(1)_Y$  gauge boson. Write down the part of the electroweak Lagrangian involving only gauge and scalar fields.

Describe how the gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken to  $U(1)_{EM}$  via the Higgs mechanism. In particular, you should relate the gauge fields after symmetry breaking  $(W^{\pm}_{\mu}, Z_{\mu}, A_{\mu})$  to the original gauge fields, define the Weinberg angle  $\theta_W$ , show that one gauge boson remains massless and find tree-level expressions for the masses of the other three gauge bosons and the Higgs boson. [Hint: After giving a brief justification you may consider the expansion

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ v + H(x) \end{array} \right) \,,$$

where v is a real constant and H(x) is a real scalar field.]

Draw Feynman diagrams for all the tree-level interactions involving both Z and H fields.

(b) The interaction term in the Lagrangian which governs the decay of the Higgs boson, H, to two Z bosons is  $\mathcal{L}_{HZZ} = \frac{m_Z^2}{v} Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu} H$ , where v is the Higgs vacuum expectation value. Without neglecting any masses, derive an expression for the decay rate  $\Gamma(H \to ZZ)$  supposing that  $m_H > 2m_Z$ . [Hint: The following expressions may be used without proof:

$$\langle 0 | Z_{\mu} | Z(k,s) \rangle = \epsilon_{\mu}(k,s), \qquad \sum_{Z \text{ spins } s} \epsilon_{\mu}(k,s) \epsilon_{\nu}^{*}(k,s) = -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_{\mu}k_{\nu}}{M_{Z}^{2}},$$

and the decay rate for  $A(p) \rightarrow B(k_1) + C(k_2)$  is,

$$\Gamma(A \to BC) = \frac{1}{2m_A} \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3 2k_1^0} \int \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3 2k_2^0} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p - k_1 - k_2) \sum_{spins} |\mathcal{M}|^2,$$

where  $m_A$  is the mass of particle A.]

## CAMBRIDGE

3

(a) State whether or not each of the following processes is allowed at tree level in the Standard Model:

4

(i) 
$$u \bar{d} \to c \bar{s}$$
 (ii)  $u \bar{c} \to d \bar{s}$  (iii)  $b \to u e^+ \nu_e$  (iv)  $c \to d \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$ .

For those which are allowed draw all possible tree-level Feynman diagrams.

(b) Suppose that the chiral structure of the weak charged-current interaction has not been determined and so the part of the effective Lagrangian relevant for  $u \bar{d} \to c \bar{s}$  is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \bar{d}\gamma^{\alpha} (P + Q\gamma^5) u \right] \left[ \bar{c}\gamma_{\alpha} (P + Q\gamma^5) s \right],$$

where P and Q are real constants and  $\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g^2}{8M_W^2}$ . Neglecting quark masses, CKM matrix factors and the effects of the strong interaction (e.g. hadronization), show that

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \left( u(p_1) \,\bar{d}(p_2) \to c(k_1) \,\bar{s}(k_2) \right) = F(s) \left[ H_1 \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta \right) + H_2 \,\cos \theta \right],$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle between  $\vec{p_1}$  and  $\vec{k_1}$ , F(s) is some function of  $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$  which you should find, and the constants  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  should be expressed in terms of P and Q. [Hints: Work in the centre of momentum frame. The following expressions may be used without proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}(\gamma^{\mu_{1}}\dots\gamma^{\mu_{n}}) &= 0 \quad for \ n \ odd, \\ \operatorname{Tr}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{\sigma}) &= 4(g^{\mu\nu}g^{\rho\sigma} - g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma} + g^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\rho}), \\ \operatorname{Tr}(\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{\sigma}) &= -4i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \\ \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\sigma\rho}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\tau} &= -2(\delta^{\sigma}_{\lambda}\delta^{\rho}_{\tau} - \delta^{\sigma}_{\tau}\delta^{\rho}_{\lambda}), \end{aligned}$$

and the differential cross section for  $A(p_A) + B(p_B) \rightarrow C(p_C) + D(p_D)$  is,

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{|\vec{v}_A - \vec{v}_B|} \frac{1}{4p_A^0 p_B^0} \left(\frac{d^3 p_C}{(2\pi)^3 2p_C^0}\right) \left(\frac{d^3 p_D}{(2\pi)^3 2p_D^0}\right) (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_A + p_B - p_C - p_D) \frac{1}{4} \sum_{spins} |\mathcal{M}|^2 ,$$

where A, B, C and D are spin-1/2 fermions.]

- (c) What happens to your expression at high energy (large s)? Comment on this.
- (d) Find an expression for the asymmetry

$$A(\theta) = \frac{\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\theta) - \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\theta + \pi)}{\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\theta) + \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\theta + \pi)}.$$

Why might the experimental measurement of this asymmetry be a useful way to constrain the chiral structure of the weak charged-current interaction?

# UNIVERSITY OF

 $\mathbf{4}$ 

To leading order, the scale dependence of a renormalized coupling  $g(\mu)$  is given by

$$\mu \frac{dg}{d\mu} = -\frac{\beta_0}{16\pi^2} g^3 \,,$$

5

where  $\mu$  is the renormalization scale and  $\beta_0$  is a real constant.

(a) Briefly explain the consequences of  $\beta_0$  being positive (as in QCD) or negative.

(b) For  $\alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$  and assuming that  $\beta_0 > 0$ , derive a expression for  $\alpha_s(\mu)$  in terms of an energy scale  $\Lambda$  where  $\alpha_s$  diverges.

(c) In QCD, suppose that  $\Lambda = \Lambda_4$  for  $\mu < m_b$  (where  $n_f = 4$ ) and  $\Lambda = \Lambda_5$  for  $m_b < \mu < m_t$  (where  $n_f = 5$ ). Here  $m_b$  and  $m_t$  are respectively the bottom and top quark masses. By requiring that  $\alpha_s(\mu)$  is continuous at  $\mu = m_b$ , show that,

$$\Lambda_5 = \Lambda_4 \left(\frac{m_b}{\Lambda_4}\right)^{-p/r} \,,$$

where p and r are positive integers which you should find. [You may assume that  $\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3}N - \frac{2}{3}n_f$  in an SU(N) gauge theory with  $n_f$  flavours of quarks.]

(d) The cross section for  $e^+(p_1)e^-(p_2) \to$  hadrons beyond leading order may be written as

$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3q^2} \, 3 \, \sum_f Q_f^2 \, K\left(\alpha_s(\mu^2), q^2/\mu^2\right) \,,$$

where  $Q_f$  is the charge of quark flavour  $f, q = p_1 + p_2$  and at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ 

$$K\left(\alpha_s(\mu^2), q^2/\mu^2\right) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{\pi} + \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu^2)}{\pi^2} \left[1.99 - 0.11 n_f - \frac{\beta_0}{4} \ln\left(q^2/\mu^2\right)\right] \,.$$

One way to choose the arbitrary scale  $\mu$  is to require that

$$\frac{dK}{d\ln\mu^2} = 0$$

By using this prescription with the leading order scale dependence of  $\alpha_s(\mu)$ , find an expression for  $\mu^2$  in terms of  $n_f$  and q.

## END OF PAPER

#### Part III, Paper 305