

MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS Part III

Tuesday, 31 May, 2016 1:30 pm to 4:30 pm

PAPER 312

ADVANCED COSMOLOGY

*Attempt no more than **THREE** questions.*

*There are **FOUR** questions in total.*

The questions carry equal weight.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

Cover sheet

Treasury Tag

Script paper

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

None

<p>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</p>

1

(a) Consider the 3+1 formalism for general relativity with the spacetime line element

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + {}^{(3)}g_{ij}(dx^i - N^i dt)(dx^j - N^j dt), \quad (*)$$

where $N(t, x^i)$ is the lapse function, $N^i(t, x^i)$ is the shift vector, and ${}^{(3)}g_{ij}(x^i)$ is the three-metric on constant time spacelike hypersurfaces Σ . (Latin indices $i = 1, 2, 3$.)

(i) The four-vector $n^\mu = \frac{1}{N}(1, N^i)$ is normal to Σ and defines the extrinsic curvature K_{ij} through its spacetime covariant derivative $K_{ij} \equiv -n_{i;j}$, for which you may assume the connection is given by $\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\kappa}(g_{\nu\kappa,\lambda} + g_{\mu\kappa,\lambda} - g_{\nu\lambda,\kappa})$.

Show that the extrinsic curvature can be expressed as

$$K_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2N} \left({}^{(3)}g_{ij,0} + N_{i|j} + N_{j|i} \right),$$

where $|$ denotes the covariant derivative on Σ .

(ii) Consider the conformal 3-metric ${}^{(3)}\tilde{g}_{ij} = a^2(t, x^i){}^{(3)}g_{ij}$ where $a^6 \equiv {}^{(3)}g = \det({}^{(3)}g_{ij})$ and, hence or otherwise, take the trace of the extrinsic curvature expression to find

$$K \equiv {}^{(3)}g^{ij}K_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2N} \left(\frac{{}^{(3)}\dot{g}}{{}^{(3)}g} + 2N_{|i}^i \right).$$

In the context of an expanding universe (setting $N^i = 0$), argue that $-\frac{K}{3}$ can be interpreted as a locally defined Hubble parameter $H(t, x^i)$.

[Hint: You may assume that $\text{Tr}(A^{-1}dA/dt) = d(\ln(\det A))/dt$ for any matrix A with non-vanishing determinant.]

(iii) In the long wavelength approximation in an expanding universe, we can approximate the traceless part of the extrinsic curvature with the general solution $\tilde{K}_j^i \approx C_j^i(x^k) a^{-3}$. Given this and results above, briefly motivate the choice of the following metric to describe nonlinear perturbations during inflation:

$$ds^2 = -[(1 + \Psi)^2 - B_{,i}B^{,i}]dt^2 + 2a^2 B_{,i}dt dx^i + a^2 e^{2\zeta} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j. \quad (1)$$

(b) When linearising the 3+1 metric (*) about a background (flat) FRW universe, we define the scalar perturbations by

$$N(t, x^i) \equiv \bar{N}(t)(1 + \Psi(t, x^i)), \quad N_i \equiv -a^2 B_{,i}, \quad {}^{(3)}g_{ij} = a^2 [(1 - 2\Phi)\delta_{ij} - 2E_{,ij}],$$

$\rho = \bar{\rho} + \delta\rho$ and $P = \bar{P} + \delta P$, where bars denote background homogeneous quantities. Under the change of coordinates $(t, x^i) \longrightarrow (\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}^i) = (t + \xi^0, x^i + \xi^i)$ (with $\xi^i \equiv \partial^i \lambda$), scalar metric perturbations transform as

$$\delta\tilde{g}_{ij} = \delta g_{ij} - \bar{g}_{ij,0}\xi^0 - \bar{g}_{kj}\xi_{,i}^k - \bar{g}_{ik}\xi_{,j}^k.$$

In synchronous gauge, we take $\Psi = 0$ and $B = 0$. Show that there is a residual gauge freedom in this gauge given by the coordinate transformation,

$$\xi^0 = \frac{C(x^i)}{\bar{N}}, \quad \lambda = C(x^i) \int \frac{\bar{N}}{a^2} dt + D(x^i),$$

where C and D are arbitrary functions of x^i only.

2

(i) For scalar perturbations about a spatially-flat Robertson–Walker metric in the conformal Newtonian gauge, the Boltzmann equation for the dimensionless temperature anisotropy of the CMB, Θ , can be written in Fourier space as the hierarchy

$$\dot{\Theta}_l + k \left(\frac{l+1}{2l+3} \Theta_{l+1} - \frac{l}{2l-1} \Theta_{l-1} \right) = -\dot{\tau} \left[(\delta_{l0} - 1) \Theta_l - \delta_{l1} v_b + \frac{1}{10} \delta_{l2} \Theta_2 \right] + \delta_{l0} \dot{\phi} + \delta_{l1} k \psi,$$

where v_b is the baryon velocity, ϕ and ψ are the metric potentials, and $\dot{\tau} = -a\bar{n}_e\sigma_T$ is the differential optical depth (with \bar{n}_e the unperturbed electron density, a the scale factor, and σ_T the Thomson cross section). Overdots denote differentiation with respect to conformal time η and k is the wavenumber. The $\Theta_l(\eta, \mathbf{k})$ are the angular moments of the Fourier transform of Θ at wavevector \mathbf{k} . Given that the energy density contrast of the CMB photons is $\delta_\gamma = 4\Theta_0$ and the bulk velocity $v_\gamma = -\Theta_1$, write down the continuity and Euler equations for the CMB.

If the baryons did not interact with the CMB, their Euler equation would be $\dot{v}_b + (\dot{a}/a)v_b + k\psi = 0$. By considering the total 3-momentum density of the photons and baryons, show that Thomson scattering modifies the baryon Euler equation to

$$\dot{v}_b + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}v_b + k\psi = \frac{\dot{\tau}}{R}(v_b - v_\gamma),$$

where the quantity R should be specified.

(ii) Explain briefly what is meant by the tight-coupling approximation and show, to leading order in this approximation, that

$$\dot{v}_\gamma + \frac{\dot{R}}{1+R}v_\gamma + \frac{k}{4(1+R)}\delta_\gamma + k\psi = 0.$$

Hence show that the photon density contrast satisfies the oscillator equation

$$\ddot{\delta}_\gamma + \frac{\dot{R}}{1+R}\dot{\delta}_\gamma + \frac{k^2}{3(1+R)}\delta_\gamma = 4\ddot{\phi} + \frac{4\dot{R}}{1+R}\dot{\phi} - \frac{4}{3}k^2\psi. \quad (*)$$

(iii) Define the angular power spectrum of the CMB temperature anisotropies, C_l .

Explain, with reference to approximate solutions of (*), how the acoustic peaks arise in the angular power spectrum. Show that for adiabatic primordial perturbations, the peaks arise at multipoles

$$l \approx n\pi\chi_*/r_s(\eta_*),$$

where n is a positive integer, χ_* is the comoving distance to the CMB last-scattering surface (which is at conformal time η_*), and $r_s(\eta_*)$ is the sound horizon at η_* . You should provide an expression for $r_s(\eta_*)$.

3

Consider tensor perturbations (gravitational waves) of a spatially-flat universe, for which the line element is

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta) [-d\eta^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}) dx^i dx^j] , \quad (*)$$

where $a(\eta)$ is the scale factor at conformal time η . The metric perturbation h_{ij} is symmetric and trace-free, and has vanishing divergence: $\partial_i h^i_j = 0$, where $h^i_j \equiv \delta^{ik} h_{kj}$. Throughout this question you should work to first order in the perturbation h_{ij} .

(i) A photon with energy ϵ/a and direction cosines $e^{\hat{i}}$, as measured relative to the orthonormal tetrad

$$(E_0)^\mu = a^{-1} \delta_0^\mu, \quad (E_i)^\mu = a^{-1} \left(\delta_i^\mu - \frac{1}{2} h_i^j \delta_j^\mu \right),$$

has 4-momentum with coordinate components

$$p^\mu = \frac{\epsilon}{a^2} \left[1, e^{\hat{i}} - \frac{1}{2} h^i_j e^{\hat{j}} \right].$$

Using the geodesic equation $dp^\mu/d\lambda + \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu p^\nu p^\rho = 0$, with $p^\mu = dx^\mu/d\lambda$ and λ an affine parameter, show that the comoving energy of a photon, ϵ , satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{d\epsilon}{d\eta} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{h}_{ij} e^{\hat{i}} e^{\hat{j}} = 0,$$

where overdots denote partial differentiation with respect to conformal time.

[You may assume that the non-zero connection coefficients for the metric in (*) are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{00}^0 &= \mathcal{H}, \\ \Gamma_{ij}^0 &= \mathcal{H} \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{H} h_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{h}_{ij}, \\ \Gamma_{j0}^i &= \mathcal{H} \delta_j^i + \dot{h}^i_j, \\ \Gamma_{jk}^i &= \partial_{(j} h^i_{k)} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{il} \partial_l h_{jk}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H} = \dot{a}/a$ is the conformal Hubble parameter and round brackets denote symmetrisation on the enclosed indices.]

(ii) The Boltzmann equation for the dimensionless temperature anisotropy of the CMB, $\Theta(\eta, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e})$, at linear order in tensor perturbations is

$$\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \eta} + \mathbf{e} \cdot \nabla \Theta - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{d\epsilon}{d\eta} = \dot{\tau} \Theta - \frac{3\dot{\tau}}{16\pi} \int d\hat{\mathbf{m}} \Theta(\hat{\mathbf{m}}) [1 + (\mathbf{e} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{m}})^2],$$

where $\dot{\tau}$ is the differential optical depth to Thomson scattering. Making the approximation $-\dot{\tau} e^{-\tau} = \delta(\eta - \eta_*)$, with the optical depth $\tau = 0$ at the present time η_0 , show that the temperature anisotropy observed at (η_0, \mathbf{x}_0) is

$$\Theta(\eta_0, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{e}) \approx -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\eta_*}^{\eta_0} \dot{h}_{ij}(\eta', \mathbf{x}_0 - \chi \mathbf{e}) e^{\hat{i}} e^{\hat{j}} d\eta', \quad (**)$$

where $\chi = \eta_0 - \eta'$. Here, η_* is the time of last scattering. You should state clearly any further approximations that you make.

(iii) Consider a single gravitational plane wave of helicity +2 with wavevector $\mathbf{k} = k\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is a unit vector in the z -direction and k is the wavenumber. The corresponding metric perturbation is

$$h_{ij}(\eta, \mathbf{x}) \propto (\delta_{i1} + i\delta_{i2})(\delta_{j1} + i\delta_{j2}) h^{(+2)}(\eta, k\hat{\mathbf{z}}) e^{ikz},$$

where $h^{(+2)}(\eta, k\hat{\mathbf{z}})$ is the amplitude of the gravitational wave. The spherical multipoles of the observed temperature anisotropy at $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ are such that

$$\Theta(\eta_0, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}) = \sum_{lm} \Theta_{lm} Y_{lm}(\mathbf{e}),$$

where $Y_{lm}(\mathbf{e})$ are the spherical harmonics. By expressing \mathbf{e} in spherical polar coordinates, use (***) to show that Θ_{lm} are only non-zero for $m = 2$.

For a very long wavelength gravitational wave, with $k\eta_0 \ll 1$, during matter domination up to the present time we may take

$$h^{(+2)}(\eta, k\hat{\mathbf{z}}) = h^{(+2)}(k\hat{\mathbf{z}}) \left[1 - \frac{1}{10}(k\eta)^2 + \dots \right],$$

where $h^{(+2)}(k\hat{\mathbf{z}})$ is the primordial amplitude of the wave. By expanding in $k\eta_0$, show that at leading order

$$\Theta_{22} \propto h^{(+2)}(k\hat{\mathbf{z}})(k\eta_0)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{32} = -\frac{i}{3\sqrt{7}}(k\eta_0)\Theta_{22}$$

for $\eta_0 \gg \eta_*$.

[You may wish to use

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{22}(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{15}{2\pi}} \sin^2 \theta e^{2i\phi}, \\ Y_{32}(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{\sqrt{7}}{4} \sqrt{\frac{15}{2\pi}} \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta e^{2i\phi}. \quad] \end{aligned}$$

4

(a) (i) Briefly explain the implications of Wick's Theorem for higher-order correlators of a Gaussian random field ζ_G .

(ii) A local non-Gaussian model is constructed by adding the square of a Gaussian random field ζ_G to itself as

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \zeta_G(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{3}{5}f_{\text{NL}}[\zeta_G^2(\mathbf{x}) - \langle \zeta_G^2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle],$$

where f_{NL} is the non-Gaussianity parameter. Define the bispectrum $B(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ and show, using the expression above, that the local-type bispectrum can be expressed as

$$B^{\text{loc}}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{6}{5}f_{\text{NL}}(P(k_1)P(k_2) + P(k_2)P(k_3) + P(k_3)P(k_1)),$$

given that the power spectrum $P(k)$ in Fourier space is defined by

$$\langle \zeta_G(\mathbf{k}_1)\zeta_G(\mathbf{k}_2) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 P(k_1)\delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \text{ with } k = |\mathbf{k}|.$$

(b) Using the in-in formalism during inflation, the leading order correction to an operator Q is given by the expectation value

$$\langle Q(t) \rangle = \mathcal{R}e \left\langle -2iQ^I(t) \int_{-\infty(1-i\mathcal{E})}^t H_{\text{int}}^I(t') dt' \right\rangle, \quad (\dagger)$$

where we will assume the interaction Hamiltonian H_{int}^I for single-field inflation at third-order is

$$H_{\text{int}}^I = -M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \int d^3x a^3 \epsilon^2 \zeta \dot{\zeta}^2, \quad (\ddagger)$$

with slow-roll parameter ϵ (which you may assume is effectively constant) and scale factor given by $a \approx -1/(H\tau)$ with Hubble constant H and conformal time τ (i.e. $dt = a d\tau$) and $\dot{\zeta} = d\zeta/dt$ and $\zeta' = d\zeta/d\tau$. Assume that, in the interaction picture, the linear density perturbation ζ is a Gaussian random field with power spectrum,

$$\langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}, \tau)\zeta(\mathbf{k}', \tau) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 u_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) u_{\mathbf{k}'}^*(\tau) \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}'), \quad (*)$$

where the mode functions $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau)$ and their conformal time derivatives are

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) = \frac{H}{\sqrt{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}}^2 k^3}} (1 + ik\tau) e^{-ik\tau}, \quad u'_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) = \frac{H}{\sqrt{4\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}}^2 k^3}} k^2 \tau e^{-ik\tau}.$$

(i) Show that the three point correlator of ζ for the interaction Hamiltonian (\ddagger) reduces to the following terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1, 0)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2, 0)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_3, 0) \rangle &= \mathcal{R}e \left(-2i \int d\tau \int d^3p_1 d^3p_2 d^3p_3 \right. \\ &\times \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \epsilon^2}{(H\tau)^2} u_{\mathbf{k}_1}(0) u_{\mathbf{k}_2}(0) u_{\mathbf{k}_3}(0) u_{\mathbf{p}_1}(\tau) u'_{\mathbf{p}_2}(\tau) u'_{\mathbf{p}_3}(\tau) \\ &\times (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_3) [\delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}_1)\delta(\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{p}_2)\delta(\mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{p}_3) + \text{cyclic perms.}] \left. \right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Substitute the mode functions for the density field ζ and evaluate the integrals above explicitly to show that the three-point correlator becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1, 0)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2, 0)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_3, 0) \rangle = \\ = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) \frac{H^4}{16\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}}^4} \frac{1}{(k_1 k_2 k_3)^3} \left(\frac{k_2^2 k_3^2}{K} + \frac{k_1 k_2^2 k_3^2}{K^2} + \text{cyclic perms.} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $K = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$. Discuss any assumptions made in evaluating the integral.

(c) Consider the two bispectrum shapes given by the local-type non-Gaussian model $B^{\text{loc}}(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ described in (a) and the single-field inflation bispectrum given in (b) which we shall denote as $B^{\text{sf}}(k_1, k_2, k_3)$. By noting that the Planck satellite has obtained an observational limit on the local non-linearity parameter $|f_{\text{NL}}| < 10$, discuss the prospects of obtaining a detection of the single-field bispectrum model (b).

[*Hint: Note that the local bispectrum signal is dominated by the squeezed limit (e.g. $k_1 \ll k_2, k_3$ with $k_2 \approx k_3$) and compare with the single-field bispectrum.*]

END OF PAPER