

MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS Part III

Thursday, 4 June, 2015 1:30 pm to 4:30 pm

PAPER 37

TIME SERIES AND MONTE CARLO INFERENCE

*Attempt no more than **FOUR** questions.*

*There are **SIX** questions in total.*

The questions carry equal weight.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

Cover sheet

Treasury Tag

Script paper

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

None

<p>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</p>

1

Consider the process $\{X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$:

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \text{with } \epsilon_t \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2).$$

(a) Carefully explain whether $\{X_t\}$ can be weakly stationary in the following scenarios:

- (i) $\phi = 1/2$;
- (ii) $\phi = 1$;
- (iii) $\phi = 2$.

(b) What is the motivation for testing $\phi = 1$? Given observations X_1, \dots, X_n , how would you perform such a test of size α ? Write down the test statistic and the critical region.

[Derivation of the test statistic's asymptotic distribution is not required here.]

Now consider an autoregressive (AR) process $\{Y_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of order p , where

$$Y_t = \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p Y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t, \quad \text{with } \epsilon_t \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2).$$

(c) What does it mean to say $\{Y_t\}$ is “causal”? Prove that if $\{Y_t\}$ is causal, then the roots of the equation

$$1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 - \dots - \phi_p z^p = 0$$

all lie outside the unit circle.

(d) Suppose $\{Y_t\}$ is causal. Show that its autocovariance function γ_h decays exponentially, i.e., there exist constant $C > 0$ and $s \in (0, 1)$ such that $|\gamma_h| \leq C s^{|h|}$ for $h = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

2

Consider the following autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity (ARCH) process $\{X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1), \\ \sigma_t^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_2 X_{t-2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha_0 > 0$ and $1 > \alpha_2 > 0$. You may assume that under these conditions $\{X_t\}$ is both weakly stationary and strongly stationary.

- (a) What is meant by “weakly stationary” and “strongly stationary”?
- (b) List two reasons why researchers often prefer ARCH processes to autoregressive moving average (ARMA) processes when modelling financial time series.
- (c) Calculate EX_t , EX_t^2 , $\text{cov}(X_t^2, X_{t+1}^2)$ and EX_t^4 . Deduce that $EX_t^4 < \infty$ if $3\alpha_2^2 < 1$.
[You can directly use the fact that the fourth moment of a standard normal random variable is 3.]
- (d) Write down the autoregressive representation of this ARCH process. Now given observations X_1, \dots, X_n , carefully explain how you would estimate α_0 and α_2 using the least squares method.
- (e) Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any function with $E[f^2(X_t)] < \infty$ and h be any positive integer. Prove or disprove the following statements:
 - (i) $\text{cov}(X_{t+h}, f(X_t)) = 0$;
 - (ii) $\text{cov}(X_t, f(X_{t+h})) = 0$.

3

- (a) Define the autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF) of a zero-mean weakly stationary process.
- (b) Briefly describe how the sample ACF and PACF can be used to obtain a good guess of appropriate orders in autoregressive moving average (ARMA) models given a particular time series data set.

Now consider the following invertible moving average (MA) process $\{X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of order q :

$$X_t = \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \cdots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t-q}, \quad \text{with } \epsilon_t \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2).$$

- (c) If $q = 1$, compute the PACF of this MA(1) process at lag 2.
- (d) Now assume $q \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Use the spectral representation theorem to prove that the spectral density of $\{X_t\}$ is $f_X(\omega) = \sigma^2 |\Theta(e^{2\pi i \omega})|^2$, where $\Theta(z) = 1 + \theta_1 z + \cdots + \theta_q z^q$.
- (e) Prove that the variance of $\left(\sum_{t=1}^n X_t\right)/\sqrt{n}$ converges to $\sigma^2 \Theta^2(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. How about the variance of $\left(\sum_{t=1}^n X_{2t-1}\right)/\sqrt{n}$?

4

- (a) Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a CDF. Define its associated quantile function $F^{-1} : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and prove that $F^{-1}(U)$ has CDF F if $U \sim \text{Unif}[0, 1]$.
- (b) Let $f(x)$ be a density function on \mathbb{R}^d and $h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(x)|f(x)dx < \infty$. Define the importance sampling estimator $\hat{\mu}_{\text{IS}}$ of the integral $\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x)f(x)dx$, and show that it is unbiased. Give the importance distribution that minimizes the variance of $\hat{\mu}_{\text{IS}}$, and prove your claim.
- (c) (i) Given $U_1 \sim \text{Unif}[0, 1]$, how can you simulate $X \sim f$, where $f(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}x^{\alpha-1}\exp(-x^\alpha/\beta)$ if $x > 0$, $f(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 0$, and $\alpha, \beta > 0$.
- (ii) Given $U_2, U_3 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Unif}[0, 1]$, give the Box-Muller algorithm to generate $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$, and prove that it works.
- (iii) Given $U_1, U_2, U_3 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Unif}[0, 1]$, explain how can you simulate from the density function $g(x)$ defined by

$$g(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + \theta^4}{2\theta^2}\right) d\theta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

5

- (a) Define the term “ φ -irreducibility” for a Markov Chain on a state space $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and explain what it intuitively means.
- (b) State the Ergodic Theorem and the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) for Markov Chains on a state space $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. (please explain the different terms involved in the asymptotic variance of the CLT).
- (c) Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be an unnormalized density, from which we wish to sample. State sufficient conditions under which the general Metropolis-Hasting’s algorithm with proposal density $q(y|x)$ and stationary distribution $\pi(x) / \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \pi(y) dy$ satisfies the assumptions of the Central Limit Theorem for Markov Chains (no proof is needed).
- (d) Let $\pi(\cdot)$ be an unnormalized density on \mathbb{R}^p , and denote by

$$\pi_i(\cdot | x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_p)$$

the full conditional density of $X_i | (X_j : j \neq i)$, where

$$X = (X_1, \dots, X_p) \sim \pi.$$

Consider the following algorithm:

- I. Choose $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\pi(x_0) > 0$, and set $X^{(0)} = x_0$.
- II. For each $t = 0, 1, \dots, T - 1$,
- 1) choose $k_t \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ uniformly at random,
 - 2) draw $Y_t \sim \pi_{k_t}(\cdot | X_j^{(t)} : j \neq k_t)$,
 - 3) set

$$X_j^{(t+1)} = \begin{cases} X_j^{(t)}, & j \neq k_t, \\ Y_t, & j = k_t. \end{cases}$$

- (i) Give the transition kernel $p(x, x')$ associated to this Markov Chain.
- (ii) Show that this algorithm generates a Markov Chain $(X^{(t)})_{t=0,1,\dots}$ whose transition kernel is in detailed balance with π .

(for simplicity, you can assume that $\pi(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$).

6

Let $K \geq 1$ be an integer and $D = \{1, \dots, K\}^2$. Let $S \in \{-1, 1\}^D$, be random, with distribution

$$\pi(S) \propto \exp \left(-J \sum_{\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{N}} s_i s_j \right),$$

where $J \neq 0$ is a constant, $(S)_i = s_i, i \in D$, and \mathcal{N} is the neighbour relationship on D , i.e., it is the subset of the set of unordered pairs $\{\{i, j\} : i, j \in D, i \neq j\}$ containing $\{i, j\}$ if and only if i and j are vertical or horizontal neighbours. Let

$$X = (X_i)_{i \in D}$$

be random, with conditional distribution $X_i | S \sim N(\mu_i, 1)$, where

$$\mu_i = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} s_j \right)^7,$$

$\mathcal{N}_i = \{j \in D : \{j, i\} \in \mathcal{N}\}$ denote the neighbours of $i \in D$. Furthermore, assume that all $X_i, i \in D$, are independent, conditional on S . Suppose we observe a realisation of $X = (X_i)_{i \in D}$.

- Write the posterior distribution $\pi(S|X)$ up to a normalizing constant.
- Given $i \in D$, which factors of $\pi(S|X)$ depend on S_i ?
- Derive the full conditionals of S_i , i.e. $\pi_i(s_i | (s_j)_{j \neq i}, X)$, and give the Gibbs sampling algorithm for generating a Markov Chain $(S^{(t)})_{t \geq 1}$ with stationary distribution $\pi(S|X)$.
- Given a realization $S^{(1)}, \dots, S^{(T)}$ of the Markov Chain, explain how can you estimate

$$\theta = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\sum_{i \in D} \sqrt{2 + S_i} \right) \right],$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to the posterior distribution of $S|X$. What theoretical result justifies the use of that particular estimator, and is it applicable here? (you can assume without proof that the Markov Chain is irreducible).

- Show that the Markov Chain $(S^{(t)})_{t \geq 1}$ is irreducible.

END OF PAPER