

MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS      Part III

---

Thursday, 4 June, 2015    1:30 pm to 4:30 pm

---

PAPER 18

COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

*Attempt no more than **FOUR** questions.*

*There are **FIVE** questions in total.*

*The questions carry equal weight.*

**STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS**

*Cover sheet*

*Treasury Tag*

*Script paper*

**SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS**

*None*

|   |
|---|
| <p><b>You may not start to read the questions<br/>printed on the subsequent pages until<br/>instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</b></p> |
|---|

1

1. Let  $X$  be a real manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = 2n$ . Define what is meant by a *holomorphic atlas* on  $X$  and also what is meant by an *almost complex structure* on  $X$ . Prove that any holomorphic atlas on  $X$  induces a natural almost complex structure.
2. Now suppose that  $J$  is an arbitrary almost complex structure on  $X$ . Explain how  $J$  defines the vector bundle  $TX^{0,1}$  and  $TX^{1,0}$ . If  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are local holomorphic coordinates on  $X$  define the quantities  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}$  and prove that the sets

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \right\}$$

give local frames for  $TX^{1,0}$  and  $TX^{0,1}$  respectively.

3. For smooth vector fields  $\alpha, \beta$  on  $X$  define

$$N(\alpha, \beta) = 2([J\alpha, J\beta] - [\alpha, \beta] - J[\alpha, J\beta] - J[J\alpha, \beta])$$

where  $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha$  denotes the Lie bracket on vector fields. Prove that if  $J$  is the almost complex structure induced by a complex structure then  $N = 0$ .

4. Now write the decomposition of a smooth vector field  $\alpha$  as  $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''$  where  $\alpha' \in C^\infty(X, TX^{1,0})$  and  $\alpha'' \in C^\infty(X, TX^{0,1})$ . Prove that

$$N(\alpha, \beta)'' = -8[\alpha', \beta]''$$

and show that  $N = 0$  if and only if both  $TX^{1,0}$  and  $TX^{0,1}$  are involutive under the Lie bracket (i.e. if and only if whenever  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are smooth sections of  $T^{1,0}X$  then so is  $[\alpha, \beta]$  and similarly for  $T^{0,1}X$ ).

2

- (a). Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. Define the sheaf  $\mathcal{A}^k$  of  $k$  forms and the sheaf  $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}$  of  $(p, q)$ -forms on  $X$  and show that

$$\mathcal{A}^k(X) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X).$$

Finally define the Dolbeault cohomology groups  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X)$ .

- (b). The *Bott-Chern* cohomology group of  $X$  is defined to be

$$H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) = \frac{\{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X) : d\alpha = 0\}}{\partial\bar{\partial}\mathcal{A}^{p-1,q-1}(X)}.$$

Explain how this is well defined, and prove that complex conjugation gives an identification  $H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) = H_{BC}^{q,p}(X)$ .

- (c). Prove that if  $B$  is a polydisk then  $H_{BC}^{p,q}(B) = 0$  for all  $p, q \geq 1$ . Does this statement still hold if  $B$  is replaced by an arbitrary complex manifold? Justify your answer.

[You may use the Poincaré Lemma which states that if  $B$  is a polydisk then the de-Rham cohomology  $H_{dR}^k(B) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(B) = 0$  for all  $q \geq 1$ .]

- (d). Consider next the map  $\phi: H_{BC}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X)$  which takes the class of  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X)$  in  $H_{BC}^{p,q}(X)$  to its class in  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X)$ . Prove that  $\phi$  is well defined.

Now suppose that  $X$  is compact and Kähler. Prove that the map  $\phi$  is surjective.

## 3

- (a). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf of abelian groups on a complex manifold  $X$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be a locally finite open cover of  $X$ . Define the group  $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  of *Cech cochains*, the *coboundary map*

$$\delta: C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Using this define briefly the *Cech cohomology groups*

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

- (b). Now suppose  $X$  is compact with  $\dim X \geq 2$  and let

$$X_0 = X \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$$

where  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  are distinct points in  $X$ . Show that for any holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$  there is an isomorphism

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{O}(E)) \simeq \check{H}^p(X_0, \mathcal{O}(E|_{X_0})) \text{ for all } p \geq 0$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(E)$  denotes the sheaf of holomorphic sections of  $E$ , and  $E|_{X_0}$  denotes the restriction of  $E$  to  $X_0$ .

Does this continue to be true if  $\dim X = 1$ ? Justify your answer.

[You may assume the following version of Hartog's Theorem without proof: any holomorphic function  $f: B \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  where  $B$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $n \geq 2$  extends to a holomorphic  $\bar{f}: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ].

- (c). Consider next  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ . Define the *tautological line bundle*  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$  as well as the line bundles  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now suppose  $[z, w]$  are homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, U_1\}$  where  $U_0 = \{[1, w] : w \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and  $U_1 = \{[z, 1] : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . Using your definition of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ , write down trivialisations of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)|_{U_0}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)|_{U_1}$  and compute the associated transition function. Using this, identify the groups

$$C^q := C^q(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k))$$

for  $q = 0, 1$  and all  $k \geq 0$  as well as the coboundary map  $\delta: C^0 \rightarrow C^1$ . Finally use this to compute

$$\dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)) \text{ for all } k \geq 0.$$

4

- (a). Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle on a Kähler manifold  $X$  and let  $h$  be a hermitian metric on  $E$ . Define the *Chern connection*  $\nabla_h$  associated to  $h$  and prove that it exists and is unique. Define also the curvature form  $F_h$  of  $\nabla_h$  explaining how it may be considered as an element of  $\mathcal{A}^2(\text{End}(E))$ .
- (b). Explain how  $\nabla_h$  induces operators

$$\nabla'_h: \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+1,q}(E) \text{ and } \nabla''_h: \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,q+1}(E)$$

and define the two associated Laplacian operators  $\Delta'$  and  $\Delta''$ . Prove the identity

$$\Delta'' = \Delta' + [iF_h, \Lambda]$$

where  $\Lambda$  denotes the dual of the Lefschetz operator  $L$ .

[You may assume the identities  $[\Lambda, \nabla''_h] = -i(\nabla'_h)^*$  and  $[\Lambda, \nabla'_h] = i(\nabla''_h)^*$ ]

- (c). Now assume that  $X$  is compact. Define what it means for a holomorphic line bundle  $F$  on  $X$  to be *positive*. Show that if  $F$  is a positive holomorphic line bundle and  $E$  is any holomorphic vector bundle then there exists an  $m_0$  such that for all  $m \geq m_0$  we have

$$H^0(X, E \otimes F^{-m}) = 0$$

where  $F^{-m} = (F^*)^{\otimes m}$ .

[You may assume, if needed, that  $[L, \Lambda] = (p + q - n) \text{Id}$  on  $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ ].

- (d). Is it true that in the above one can choose  $m_0$  that works uniformly over all holomorphic vector bundles  $E$ ? Justify your answer.

5

- (a). Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle on a compact complex manifold  $X$ . Define the sheaf  $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}(E)$  of  $(p, q)$ -forms with values in  $E$ , and state Dolbeaut's Theorem for  $(p, q)$ -forms with values in  $E$ .
- (b). Assume now  $\omega$  is a Kähler form on  $X$  and  $h$  is a hermitian metric on  $E$ . State the Hodge decomposition Theorem for  $(p, q)$ -forms with values in  $E$ , defining clearly the spaces involved.
- (c). Suppose now  $L$  is a holomorphic line bundle on  $X$  and  $h$  is a positive hermitian metric on  $L$  such that  $iF_h = \omega$  where  $F_h$  denotes the curvature of the Chern connection associated to  $h$ . The Spectral Gap Theorem states that there exists a  $k_0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $k \geq k_0$  and  $q \geq 1$  the lowest eigenvalue of  $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}_{L^k}} : \mathcal{A}^{0,q}(X, L^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0,q}(X, L^k)$  is bounded below by  $\epsilon k$ .

Explain how to deduce from this that

$$H^q(X, L^k) = 0 \text{ for } q \geq 1 \text{ and } k \geq k_0.$$

- (d). Finally suppose  $X$  is a compact complex manifold with  $\dim X = 1$  and  $L$  is a positive holomorphic line bundle on  $X$ . Suppose  $z$  is a local coordinate on  $X$  defined on a coordinate chart  $U$  centered at  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $\zeta$  be a holomorphic frame for  $L$  defined on  $U$ . Prove that there exists a  $k_0$  such that for any  $k \geq k_0$  and any choice of  $a_{-1}, \dots, a_{-r} \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exists an  $s_k \in H^0(X \setminus \{x_0\}, L^k)$  which locally near  $x_0$  can be written as

$$s_k(z) = \left( \sum_{j=-r}^{-1} a_j z^j + \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{jk} z^j \right) \zeta^k \text{ for } z \neq 0$$

where  $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}$  for  $j \geq 0$ .

**END OF PAPER**