#### MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS Part III

Tuesday, 3 June, 2014  $\phantom{-}1:\!30~\mathrm{pm}$  to 4:30 pm

### PAPER 5

## ANALYSIS OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Attempt no more than **THREE** questions.

There are **FOUR** questions in total.

The questions carry equal weight and each question accounts for 40% of the total marks.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

Cover sheet Treasury Tag Script paper **SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS** None

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.

# UNIVERSITY OF

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

This question is about real and functional analysis concepts introduced and used in the lectures.

- (a) Give two definitions of real analyticity of a function defined on the real line, first in terms of the convergence of the Taylor series, second in terms of the growth on the derivatives, and prove their equivalence.
- (b) Give an example of a function which is smooth but not real analytic on  $\mathbb{R}$  (justify entirely the answer).
- (c) State the Liouville theorem for analytic functions in the complex plane. Is a similar statement satisfied for real analytic functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ ?
- (d) Give the definition of being *separable* for a Hilbert space, and show that the space  $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  (functions square integrable on any compact interval) endowed with the inner product  $\langle f,g \rangle = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{-R}^{R} f(x)g(x) \, dx$  defines a non-separable Hilbert space.
- (e) State and prove the existence and uniqueness of a projection on a closed non-empty convex subset of a Hilbert space.
- (f) State and prove the Riesz representation theorem.
- (g) State and prove the Lax–Milgram theorem.

3

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

This question is about heat, Laplace and wave equations.

- (a) Consider the heat equation  $\partial_t u = \partial_x^2 u$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Show that the line  $\{t = 0\}$  is characteristic and that there does not exist an analytic solution u in a neighborhood of (0,0) with  $u = (1 + x^2)^{-1}$  on  $\{t = 0\}$ .
- (b) Give the formula for the wave and Schrödinger and Laplace equations and their characteristic hypersurfaces.
- (c) Prove the elliptic regularity principle for the Laplace equation in a smooth bounded connected domain  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\ell}, \ \ell \geq 2$ .
- (d) Formulate the Cauchy problem for the Laplace equation. Assuming that the Cauchy data are real analytic on some real analytic Cauchy hypersurface  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{U}$ , can we apply the Cauchy–Kowelevskaia theorem?
- (e) Assuming that the Cauchy data are  $C^2$  but not  $C^3$  on  $\Gamma$ , can we apply Cauchy– Kowalevskaia's theorem? Is there any  $C^2$  solution locally around  $\Gamma$ ?
- (f) Consider the wave equation with smooth Cauchy data on the hypersurface  $\{t = 0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . State and prove the key "a priori estimate" seen in the lectures in the whole space domain  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (g) State and prove the stronger *local* version of the previous a priori estimate, and prove as a consequence that if the Cauchy data has compact support, then the solution has compact support on each time slice. How fast can the support spread out in time?

# CAMBRIDGE

3

This question deals with solving elliptic equations. We consider in this whole question a domain  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ ,  $\ell \ge 1$ , smooth, bounded and connected.

#### (a) Consider the Neumann problem of the Poisson equation

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{U}$$
$$\nabla_x u \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial \mathcal{U}$$

with f a smooth function on  $\mathcal{U}$  and where  $\mathbf{n}(x)$  is the outgoing normal vector. We say that u is a weak solution to this problem if  $u \in H^1(\mathcal{U})$  and

$$\forall v \in H^1(\mathcal{U}), \quad \int_{\mathcal{U}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathcal{U}} f v \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

- (i) Prove that (1) if u is a weak solution and u is smooth on  $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$  then u is a classical solution, and (2) that a classical  $C^2$  solution is a weak solution.
- (ii) Prove that the weak solution is unique up to the choice of a constant.
- (iii) Prove the Neumann-Poincaré inequality

$$\forall v \in H^1(\mathcal{U}), \quad \int_{\mathcal{U}} (v - m[v])^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant C_P \, \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\nabla_x v|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad m[v] := \int_{\mathcal{U}} v \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for some constant  $C_P > 0$ .

[Hint. Argue by contradiction and use the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem in the form that a sequence bounded in  $H^1(\mathcal{U})$  is compact in  $L^2(\mathcal{U})$ .]

- (iv) Prove the existence of a weak solution as soon as  $\int_{\mathcal{U}} f \, dx = 0$  by following the Hilbert analysis strategy we have used for the Dirichlet problem.
- (v) Prove that the previous condition on f is necessary for the existence of a weak solution.
- (b) Consider the following boundary-value problem

$$\Delta^2 u = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{U}$$
$$u = \nabla_x u \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \mathcal{U}$$

with f a smooth function on  $\mathcal{U}$  and where  $\mathbf{n}(x)$  is the outgoing normal vector. We say that u is a weak  $H_0^2$  solution to this problem if  $u \in H_0^2(\mathcal{U})$  and

$$\forall v \in H_0^2(\mathcal{U}), \quad \int_{\mathcal{U}} \Delta u \Delta v \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathcal{U}} f v \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

- (i) Prove that (1) if u is a weak solution and u is smooth on  $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$  then u is a classical solution, and (2) that a classical  $C^4$  solution is a weak solution.
- (ii) Prove that the weak solution is unique.
- (iii) Prove the existence of a weak solution by following the Hilbert analysis strategy we have used for the Dirichlet problem.

[*Hint:* Use both the Dirichlet–Poincaré inequality proved in lectures and the Neumann–Poincaré inequality proved above.]

# CAMBRIDGE

 $\mathbf{4}$ 

This question deals with the vanishing viscosity approximation of the nonlinear transport equation.

5

(a) Consider the equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x F(u) = \epsilon \partial_{xx}^2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in (0, +\infty)$$
(1)

with  $\epsilon > 0$  and F a  $C^2$  function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with F' bounded.

(i) Arguing a priori, i.e. assuming the existence of a global smooth solution  $u_{\epsilon}$  decaying at infinity faster than any polynomials, perform energy estimates to establish the following estimate on the  $L^2$  norm

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\epsilon}(t, x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le e^{C_0 t} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\epsilon}(0, x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \right)$$

and provide a formula for bounding above the constant  $C_0$  in terms of F and  $\epsilon$ .

(ii) Arguing a priori as in the previous question, perform energy estimates to establish the following estimate on the  $L^2$  norm of the first derivative

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \partial_x u_{\epsilon}(t, x) \right)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \le e^{C_1 t} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \partial_x u_{\epsilon}(0, x) \right)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \right)$$

and provide a formula for bounding above the constant  $C_1$  in terms of F and  $\epsilon$ .

- (iii) How does these constants  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  behave as  $\epsilon \to 0$ ? Can you relate it to the behavior of the solution when  $\epsilon = 0$ .
- (b) Consider again the equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x F(u) = \epsilon \partial_{xx}^2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in (0, +\infty)$$
 (2)

with  $\epsilon > 0$ , but now with F a  $C^2$  uniformly convex function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(i) Prove that if  $u_{\epsilon}(t, x) = v(x - \sigma t)$  is a *travelling wave* solution for some  $C^2$  function v on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ , then v satisfies the implicit formula

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s = \int_{c}^{v(s)} \frac{\epsilon}{F(z) - \sigma z + b} \, \mathrm{d}z$$

for some constants  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) Assuming that v converges to  $u_l$  (resp.  $u_r$ ) at  $z \to -\infty$  (resp.  $z \to +\infty$ ), prove that the travelling wave speed  $\sigma$  satisfies

$$\sigma = \frac{F(u_l) - F(u_r)}{u_l - u_r}$$

(iii) Assuming  $u_l > u_r$  and the existence of the solution  $u_{\epsilon}(t, x) = v(x - \sigma t)$  described in parts (a)-(b) of this question, describe the limit  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} u_{\epsilon}$  and explain your answer.

#### [TURN OVER



## END OF PAPER