

MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS Part III

Thursday, 6 June, 2013 1:30 pm to 4:30 pm

PAPER 45

THE STANDARD MODEL

*Attempt no more than **THREE** questions.*

*There are **FOUR** questions in total.*

The questions carry equal weight.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

Cover sheet

Treasury Tag

Script paper

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

None

<p>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</p>

1

Answer both (a) and (b) below.

(a) Consider a field theory with an n -component scalar field ϕ which interacts with itself through a potential $V(\phi)$. The potential is invariant under the following infinitesimal transformations of the field:

$$\phi \mapsto \phi + i\alpha^a t^a \phi,$$

where the t^a are the generators of a group G and the α^a are infinitesimal parameters. Take it as given that the potential is minimized by field configurations collectively denoted $\Phi_0 = \{\phi_0 | V(\phi_0) = V_{\min}\}$ and that a given vacuum ϕ_0 is invariant under transformations belonging to a subgroup H . That is, for any generator \tilde{t}^i of H ,

$$\tilde{t}^i \phi_0 = 0.$$

By expanding $V(\phi)$ about the vacuum ϕ_0 , prove that there are $\dim G - \dim H$ massless scalar modes.

(b) Let ϕ be a 3-component scalar field coupled to an $SU(2)$ gauge field with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \phi \cdot D^\mu \phi - \frac{\lambda}{8} (|\phi|^2 - v^2)^2$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - g\epsilon^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$ and $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + igt^a A_\mu^a$. It is useful to use the following matrix representation for the generators: $(t^a)_{jk} = -i\epsilon_{ajk}$. Show, at the classical level, that the vacuum spontaneously breaks $SU(2)$ to $U(1)$ and write down the Lagrangian in terms of physical fields. For convenience, you may begin by assuming the field fluctuates about the minimum as

$$\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ v + \eta(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Be sure to comment on the masses of the physical fields and briefly summarize the interactions felt by the fields.

Without doing any explicit calculation, briefly remark on what would have happened to the fields $\pi_1(x)$ and $\pi_2(x)$ if you had instead considered departures from the minimum of the type

$$\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1(x) \\ \pi_2(x) \\ v + \eta(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Why is this model not suitable to describe the electroweak sector of the Standard Model, even after coupling the fields to fermions?

2

The following interaction terms contained in the Standard Model Lagrangian govern decays of the Higgs boson, H , to W bosons and to b quarks, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{HWW} &= \frac{2m_W^2}{v} g^{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- H \\ \mathcal{L}_{H\bar{b}b} &= -\frac{m_b}{v} \bar{b}bH.\end{aligned}$$

($g^{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric.) Derive expressions for the partial decay rates $\Gamma(H \rightarrow W^+W^-)$ and $\Gamma(H \rightarrow \bar{b}b)$ which depend only on the particle masses, the Higgs VEV v , and numerical factors. Do not neglect any masses; do not consider hadronization effects.

Which decay is more dominant at large values of the Higgs boson mass?

[Hints: Recall that the matrix element of a vector quantum field W_μ between the vacuum and a vector state $W(k, \varepsilon(k))$ is $\langle 0|W_\mu|W(k, \varepsilon(k))\rangle = \varepsilon_\mu(k)$. Also,

$$\sum_{\text{spins}} \varepsilon_\mu^*(k) \varepsilon_\nu(k) = -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{m_W^2}.$$

In the Higgs boson's rest frame, the partial decay rate for its decay to 2 particles, say X_1, X_2 , is given by

$$\Gamma(H \rightarrow X_1 X_2) = \frac{1}{2m_H} \int \prod_{r=1}^2 \left[\frac{d^3 k_r}{(2\pi)^3 2k_r^0} \right] (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p - k_1 - k_2) \sum_{\text{d.o.f.}} |\mathcal{M}|^2,$$

where p is the initial momentum and k_1, k_2 are the momenta of the final state particles. "d.o.f." stands for degrees-of-freedom.]

3

Consider the process

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$$

via annihilation of the electron and positron to a virtual photon. Find the cross section σ in terms of the hadronic spectral density function $\rho_h(q^2)$, where q is the sum of the electron and positron 4-momenta.

Explain why it is reasonable to expect that at high energies the leading-order cross section is given by $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{q}q$, where $\bar{q}q$ denotes a quark–antiquark pair. Calculate this contribution to σ . Clearly indicate the dependence on the number of colours and quark flavours. You may treat quarks with mass-squared less than q^2 as massless, and those with mass-squared greater than q^2 as infinitely massive, and therefore not produced. You may also treat m_e as negligible.

[*Hint: The cross section for two spinless particles colliding to produce a generic final state X is given by*

$$\frac{1}{|\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2|} \frac{1}{4p_1^0 p_2^0} |\mathcal{M}_X|^2$$

followed by integration over final state momenta and a sum over final state degrees-of-freedom. In the expression above \vec{v}_j and p_j are the 3-velocity and 4-momentum of the j -th initial state particle.]

4

Write an essay on effective field theory. In particular, explain what we mean by an effective field theory, its realm of applicability, and how it is constructed. Describe a simple example, remarking how it illustrates the points made in your general discussion.

END OF PAPER