

### MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS Part III

Thursday, 31 May, 2012 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm

## PAPER 67

## QUANTUM COMPUTATION

Attempt no more than **THREE** questions. There are **FOUR** questions in total. The questions carry equal weight.

#### STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

Cover sheet Treasury Tag Script paper **SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS** None

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.

## CAMBRIDGE

1

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  denote the set of integers modulo N. The quantum Fourier transform mod N has matrix elements (relative to a chosen orthonormal basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{ | 0 \rangle, \dots, | N - 1 \rangle \}$  of an N-dimensional state space):

$$[\operatorname{QFT}_N]_{ab} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} w^{ab}$$
 where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  and  $w = e^{2\pi i/N}$ .

(a) Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_N \to \mathbb{Z}_N$  be a periodic function which is one-to-one within each period and which can be computed by a poly(log N) sized circuit. Assuming that  $\operatorname{QFT}_N$  and measurements relative to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  can be implemented in poly(log N) time, explain how the period r of f can be determined in poly(log N) time by a quantum computation that succeeds with probability  $O(1/\log \log N)$ , and we also learn if the computation has been successful or not. [You may also assume that the number of integers less than Nthat are coprime to N grows as  $O(N/\log \log N)$ .]

(b) A qutrit is a quantum system that has a 3-dimensional state space with a chosen orthonormal basis denoted  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$ . Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \to \mathbb{Z}_3$  defined by  $f(x_1, x_2) = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 \mod 3$ , where  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  are constants. Consider also the associated operation on three qutrits defined by

$$U_f |x_1\rangle |x_2\rangle |y\rangle = |x_1\rangle |x_2\rangle |y + f(x_1, x_2) \mod 3\rangle$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, y \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ .

Let S denote the single qutrit operation defined by  $S | x \rangle = | x + 1 \mod 3 \rangle$  for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Show that  $|\xi\rangle = \operatorname{QFT}_3 | 2 \rangle$  is an eigenstate of S.

Suppose now that we are given an oracle for  $U_f$  but  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are unknown. By suitable use of  $|\xi\rangle$  (or otherwise) show that the pair  $(a_1, a_2)$  may be determined by a single application of  $U_f$  together with further operations that are independent of f.

# UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

3

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

This question is about lower bounds on quantum query complexity in the model where the quantum algorithm is given access to bits of an unknown input x via an oracle.

(a) Sketch a proof that, if there exists a quantum algorithm which computes a boolean function f(x) with certainty on all inputs x, using T queries to x, then f is represented by a multilinear polynomial of degree at most 2T.

Consider the "majority" boolean function MAJ:  $\{0,1\}^3 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ , which is defined by

$$MAJ(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \leq 1\\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where |x| is the Hamming weight of  $x \in \{0, 1\}^3$ , i.e. the number of 1s in x.

- (b) Write down the multilinear polynomial that represents MAJ, and hence show that any quantum algorithm computing MAJ(x) with certainty on all inputs x must make at least two queries to x.
- (c) Describe a quantum algorithm which computes MAJ(x) exactly for all inputs x and makes two queries to x. You may assume the existence of a quantum algorithm which computes the function  $PARITY(y) = y_1 \oplus y_2$  exactly for any  $y \in \{0, 1\}^2$ , using one query to y.

Now consider the function  $\operatorname{MAJ}_n : \{0, 1\}^{3n} \to \{0, 1\}$ , which is defined as follows. Split the input  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{3n})$  into *n* contiguous blocks  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$  of 3 bits each, and set  $\operatorname{MAJ}_n(x) = 1$  if and only if  $\operatorname{MAJ}(b_i) = 1$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . For example,

$$MAJ_2(x_1, ..., x_6) = MAJ(x_1, x_2, x_3) \land MAJ(x_4, x_5, x_6).$$

- (d) Show that any quantum algorithm computing  $MAJ_n(x)$  with certainty on all inputs x must make at least 3n/2 queries to x.
- (e) If f is a boolean function which has block sensitivity b, any quantum algorithm which computes f(x) with bounded error must make at least  $\Omega(\sqrt{b})$  queries to x. Assuming this result, or otherwise, show that any quantum algorithm computing MAJ<sub>n</sub>(x) with bounded error must make at least  $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$  queries to x.

# UNIVERSITY OF

3

### Please see the page following this question for a list of notations used and statements of two lemmas that may be assumed without proof.

(a) Consider the following quantum circuit C on two qubits prepared initially in the state  $|+\rangle_1 |+\rangle_2$ : apply  $J_1(\alpha)$ , then  $J_2(\beta)$ , then  $E_{12}$ , then  $J_2(\gamma)$ . Finally measure the two qubits in the computational basis to obtain an output pair of bits  $(b_1, b_2)$ .

Describe (with brief explanations) how this quantum circuit may be simulated by performing a (possibly adaptive) sequence of single qubit measurements on a suitable graph state, followed by classical deterministic processing of the measurement outcomes.

(b) The logical depth of a (possibly adaptive) measurement pattern on a graph state is the number of layers of simultaneous measurements that is needed to perform all the measurements.

Let *D* be any circuit comprising only H = J(0) and *CX* gates (on nearest neighbour qubits) with input state  $|+\rangle_1 |+\rangle_2 \dots |+\rangle_n$ . Show that *D* may be simulated by a measurement pattern of logical depth one (on a suitable graph state). [*Hint: it may be useful to note that*  $CX_{ij} = H_j E_{ij} H_j$ .]

(c) Let  $R(\alpha)$  denote the gate  $R(\alpha) = J(\alpha)J(0)$ . The commutation relations in lemma 2 below easily imply the following facts:

Fact 1:  $R(\alpha)$  commutes with X.

Fact 2: CX has the following Pauli propagation relations:

$$CX_{ij}X_i = X_iX_jCX_{ij}$$
  $CX_{ij}X_j = X_jCX_{ij}$ .

[You are not required to derive these facts!] Using these facts (or otherwise) show that any circuit comprising only CX and  $R(\alpha)$  gates (using any desired set of  $\alpha$  values) may be simulated by performing a measurement pattern of logical depth two (on a suitable graph state).

### NOTATIONS AND LEMMAS FOR QUESTION 3

#### Single qubit states:

$$|\alpha_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \pm e^{-i\alpha} |1\rangle) \qquad |+\rangle = |0_{+}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle).$$

#### Single qubit measurements:

 $M_i(\alpha)$  denotes measurement of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  qubit in the orthonormal basis  $\{|\alpha_+\rangle, |\alpha_-\rangle\}$ . The measurement outcome corresponding to  $|\alpha_+\rangle$  (resp.  $|\alpha_-\rangle$ ) is taken to be 0 (resp. 1).

Quantum gates: (matrices relative to the computational basis)

$$J(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{i\alpha} \\ 1 & -e^{i\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Two qubit gates:

$$E = |0\rangle \langle 0| \otimes I + |1\rangle \langle 1| \otimes Z$$
$$CX = |0\rangle \langle 0| \otimes I + |1\rangle \langle 1| \otimes X$$

(where I denotes the identity operation). Subscripts on gate names will denote the qubits to which they are applied. The 2-qubit gates  $E_{ij}$  and  $CX_{ij}$  will always be assumed to be applied to nearest-neighbour qubits i.e.  $j = i \pm 1$ .

#### You may assume the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 1** ("J-lemma"). Consider two qubits initialised in state  $|\psi\rangle_1|+\rangle_2$  (where  $|\psi\rangle$  is an arbitrary qubit state). If we apply  $E_{12}$  followed by the measurement  $M_1(\alpha)$ , then the second qubit is left in state  $X^s J(\alpha) |\psi\rangle$  where  $s \in \{0, 1\}$  is the measurement outcome.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2** ("Pauli propagation relations"). The following relations hold for  $s \in \{0, 1\}$ :

$$J_{i}(\alpha)X_{i}^{s} = e^{is\alpha}Z_{i}^{s}J_{i}((-1)^{s}\alpha)$$
  

$$J_{i}(\alpha)Z_{i}^{s} = X_{i}^{s}J_{i}(\alpha)$$
  

$$E_{ij}X_{i}^{s} = X_{i}^{s}Z_{j}^{s}E_{ij}$$
  

$$E_{ij}Z_{i}^{s} = Z_{i}^{s}E_{ij} \square$$

# UNIVERSITY OF

 $\mathbf{4}$ 

This question is about quantum phase estimation. Throughout the question, let  $\phi$  be a real number satisfying  $\phi = x/2^m$  for some known integer m and unknown integer x such that  $0 \leq x \leq 2^m - 1$ .

6

- (a) Let U be a unitary operator, and let  $|\psi\rangle$  be a quantum state such that  $U|\psi\rangle = e^{2\pi i\phi}|\psi\rangle$ . Describe a quantum algorithm which, given access to a controlled-U operation and the ability to produce  $|\psi\rangle$ , outputs  $\phi$  exactly. Include a proof of correctness of your algorithm.
- (b) Write down a quantum circuit for your algorithm. You may treat the inverse quantum Fourier transform (QFT<sup>-1</sup>) as a black box in your circuit, i.e. you need not give a circuit for QFT<sup>-1</sup>.

Let  $U_{\phi}$  be the unitary operator on one qubit defined by

$$\begin{split} U_{\phi}|0\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (1+e^{2\pi i\phi})|0\rangle + (1-e^{2\pi i\phi})|1\rangle \right), \\ U_{\phi}|1\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (1-e^{2\pi i\phi})|0\rangle + (1+e^{2\pi i\phi})|1\rangle \right). \end{split}$$

(c) Calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $U_{\phi}$ . Hence show that, given access to a controlled- $U_{\phi}$  operation as a black box,  $\phi$  can be determined exactly with  $O(2^m)$  uses of  $U_{\phi}$ .

Let  $U_{\phi}^{(n)}$  be the unitary operator on *n* qubits defined by

$$U_{\phi}^{(n)}|x\rangle = \left(\frac{1+e^{2\pi i\phi}}{2}\right)^{n} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{n}} \left(\frac{1-e^{2\pi i\phi}}{1+e^{2\pi i\phi}}\right)^{|x \oplus y|} |y\rangle,$$

where  $|x \oplus y|$  is the Hamming weight of  $x \oplus y$ , i.e. the number of bits in which x and y differ.

(d) Suppose that n is a power of 2 and  $\phi < 1/n$ . Show that, given access to a controlled- $U_{\phi}^{(n)}$  operation as a black box,  $\phi$  can be determined exactly with  $O(2^m/n)$  uses of  $U_{\phi}^{(n)}$ .

### END OF PAPER