

MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS      Part III

---

Monday, 31 May, 2010    9:00 am to 12:00 pm

---

PAPER 5

METHODS IN ANALYSIS

*Attempt no more than **THREE** questions.*

*There are **FIVE** questions in total.*

*The questions carry equal weight.*

**STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS**

*Cover sheet*

*Treasury Tag*

*Script paper*

**SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS**

*None*

|   |
|---|
| <p><b>You may not start to read the questions<br/>printed on the subsequent pages until<br/>instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</b></p> |
|---|

## 1

(a) Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Show that the dual of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the space  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $1 < q < \infty$  is such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  (in other words, show that there is an isometric isomorphism between  $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$  and  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ).

[You may use the following fact without proving it.

If  $K$  is a closed linear subspace of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is not in  $K$ , then there exists  $h \in K$  with  $\inf_{g \in K} \|f - g\|_{L^p} = \|f - h\|_{L^p}$  and such that

$$\int |f - h|^{p-2} (\overline{f - h}) g = 0$$

for all  $g \in K$ .]

(b) State and prove the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem for  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

[You may use the fact that  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is separable for all  $1 < p < \infty$  without proving it.]

(c) Show with an example that the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem fails if  $p = 1$ .

## 2

(a) State and prove the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality.

(b) For a measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $q > 1$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f \rangle_{w,q} &= \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \alpha\}|^{1/q} \\ \|f\|_{w,q} &= \sup_A |A|^{-1/q'} \int_A |f(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the definition of  $\|f\|_{w,q}$ , the supremum is taken over all measurable sets  $A$  with  $|A| < \infty$ , and  $1/q + 1/q' = 1$ . Show that there exist  $C_{1,q}, C_{2,q} > 0$  such that

$$C_{1,q} \langle f \rangle_{w,q} \leq \|f\|_{w,q} \leq C_{2,q} \langle f \rangle_{w,q}.$$

for all measurable functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

## 3

(a) Assume that  $n \geq 3$ . Suppose that  $f, f_j \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  are such that  $f_j \rightarrow f$  weakly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded set and that  $\chi_\Omega$  denotes the characteristic function of  $\Omega$ . Show that  $\chi_\Omega f_j \rightarrow \chi_\Omega f$  strongly in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for all  $q < 2n/(n-2)$ .

(b) State and prove the Poincaré inequality for  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded, connected, open set having the cone-property, and where  $p < n$ .

[In the proof you can make use of the Rellich-Kondrashov Theorem on general sets having the cone-property.]

## 4

(a) Prove that the maps defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PV} \frac{1}{x}(\phi) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon} dx \frac{\phi(x)}{x} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} dx \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \right] \\ \frac{1}{x+i0}(\phi) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{1}{x+i\varepsilon} \phi(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  are distributions (PV stands for “Principal Value”). Show moreover that

$$\frac{1}{x+i0} = \text{PV} \frac{1}{x} + i\pi\delta$$

where  $\delta(\phi) = \phi(0)$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ .

(b) Let  $f_j$  be a sequence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Assume that there exist  $g, h_1, \dots, h_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $f_j \rightarrow g$  weakly in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\partial_\ell f_j \rightarrow h_\ell$  weakly in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for every  $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ , as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Prove that  $g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and that  $\partial_\ell g = h_\ell$  for every  $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ .

5

(a) Suppose that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open and let  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  be real valued. What does it mean for  $f$  to be *subharmonic* on  $\Omega$ ? What does it mean for  $f$  to be *superharmonic* on  $\Omega$ ? What does it mean for  $f$  to be *harmonic* on  $\Omega$ ?

(b) State and prove the strong maximum principle for subharmonic functions.

(c) State and prove Harnack's inequality.

(d) Suppose that  $u_j$  is a sequence of harmonic functions on  $\Omega$  with  $u_j \rightarrow u$  pointwise and such that  $u_j$  is uniformly bounded on any compact subset  $K \subset \Omega$ . Prove that  $u_j \rightarrow u$  uniformly on every compact subset  $K \subset \Omega$  and that  $u$  is harmonic (you should assume here that the function  $u_j = \tilde{u}_j$  is given by the representative of the equivalence class of  $u_j$  which is continuous and harmonic at every point  $x \in \Omega$ ).

**END OF PAPER**