

MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS      Part III

---

Friday 1 June 2007    1.30 to 4.30

---

PAPER 33

ADVANCED PROBABILITY

*Attempt **FOUR** questions.*

*There are **SIX** questions in total.*

*The questions carry equal weight.*

**STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS**

*Cover sheet  
Treasury Tag  
Script paper*

**SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS**

*None*

<p><b>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</b></p>
---

**1** In this problem, for  $n \geq 0$  we let  $D_k^n = [k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})$ ,  $0 \leq k < 2^n$  be the dyadic sub-intervals of  $[0, 1)$  with level  $n$ . We let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\{D_k^n, 0 \leq k < 2^n\})$  be the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  that is generated by the dyadic subintervals of  $[0, 1)$  with level  $n$ . The Lebesgue measure on  $([0, 1), \mathcal{B}([0, 1)))$  is denoted by  $\lambda$ . The expectations below are understood with respect to the probability space  $([0, 1), \mathcal{B}([0, 1)), \lambda)$ .

a) Let  $\mu$  be a finite non-negative measure on  $([0, 1), \mathcal{B}([0, 1)))$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$X_n(x) = 2^n \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \mu(D_k^n) \mathbb{1}_{D_k^n}(x), \quad x \in [0, 1).$$

Show that  $(X_n, n \geq 0)$  is a martingale in some filtered probability space to be made explicit.

b) Justify that  $X_n \rightarrow X_\infty$  a.s. as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $X_\infty$  is integrable and for every  $n \geq 0$ ,  $X_n \geq E[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_n]$  a.s.

c) We let  $X_\infty \cdot \lambda$  be the measure with density  $X_\infty$  with respect to  $\lambda$ , meaning that

$$X_\infty \cdot \lambda(A) = E[X_\infty \mathbb{1}_A], \quad A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1)).$$

(i) Use b) to show that  $\mu(f) \geq X_\infty \cdot \lambda(f)$  for every non-negative measurable function  $f$ , and conclude that  $\nu = \mu - X_\infty \cdot \lambda$  defines a non-negative measure on  $([0, 1), \mathcal{B}([0, 1)))$ . If  $\nu_n, \lambda_n$  denote the restrictions of  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  to  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , show that  $\nu_n$  admits a density  $Y_n$  with respect to  $\lambda_n$ , which is given by

$$Y_n = X_n - E[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_n].$$

(ii) Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n = 0$  a.s. on the probability space  $([0, 1), \mathcal{B}([0, 1)), \lambda)$ .

(iii) On the other hand, by estimating the  $\nu$ -measure of the event  $\{Y_n \leq \varepsilon\}$ , show that

$$\nu \left( \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(x) = 0 \right\} \right) = 0.$$

2 Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n > 0$  be positive real numbers with sum

$$S := \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i \leq 1.$$

On some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  consider  $n$  independent random variables  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  all uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the events  $\{U_i \leq s\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . We define

$$X_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i \mathbb{1}_{\{U_i \leq t\}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

a) Show that the process  $(M_t, 0 \leq t < 1)$  defined by

$$M_t := \frac{S - X_t}{1 - t}, \quad 0 \leq t < 1,$$

is a càdlàg martingale with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t, 0 \leq t < 1)$ .

b) Is the martingale  $(M_t, 0 \leq t < 1)$  uniformly integrable?

c) By introducing suitable truncations of the stopping time

$$T := \inf \{t \in [0, 1] : 1 - S + X_t \leq t\},$$

or otherwise, show that

$$P(1 - S + X_t > t \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1)) = 1 - S$$

[Hint: observe that  $M_{T-} = 1$  whenever  $T < 1$ ].

**3** On some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , let  $(B_t, t \geq 0)$  be a standard real-valued Brownian motion. For  $a > 0$  we let

$$\sigma_a = \inf \{t \geq 0 : |B_t| = a\}.$$

a) Show that for some constant  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , it holds that for every  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$P(\sigma_1 > n) \leq \rho^n,$$

by noticing for instance that

$$\{\sigma_1 > n\} \subset \{|B_1| \leq 2, |B_2 - B_1| \leq 2, \dots, |B_n - B_{n-1}| \leq 2\}.$$

Deduce that  $E[(\sigma_1)^p] < \infty$  for every  $p > 1$ .

b) Show that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $E[\sigma_a] = Ca^2$  for every  $a > 0$ .

c) We define stopping times  $(\sigma_a^n, n \geq 0)$  by

$$\sigma_a^0 = 0, \quad \sigma_a^1 = \sigma_a, \quad \sigma_a^{n+1} = \inf \{t \geq \sigma_a^n : |B_t - B_{\sigma_a^n}| = a\}.$$

Show that the variables  $\sigma_a^{n+1} - \sigma_a^n$  are identically distributed. By computing the variance of  $\sigma_{2^{-n}}^{2^{2n}}$  or otherwise, show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{2^{-n}}^{2^{2n}} = C \quad \text{a.s.}$$

d) Show that the laws of the random variables  $B_{\sigma_{1/\sqrt{n}}^n}, n \geq 1$  converge weakly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to a limiting law to be made explicit. Deduce the exact value of  $C$  by comparing with part c).

4 On some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , let  $(B_t, t \geq 0)$  be a standard real-valued Brownian motion. For  $t \geq 0$ , we let  $S_t = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s$ .

a) Show that  $x^{-1}P(S_1 \leq x) \rightarrow c$  as  $x \searrow 0$ , for some constant  $c > 0$ .

b) We consider a function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  which is increasing, continuous, and satisfies

$$\int_{(0,1]} f(t) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

Show that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} P(S_{2^{-n-1}} < 2^{-n/2} f(2^{-n})) < \infty.$$

c) Deduce that, almost surely,

$$\liminf_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{S_t}{\sqrt{t} f(t)} \geq 1,$$

and hence show that this lim inf is in fact equal to  $\infty$  a.s.

**5** On some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , let  $(B_t, t \geq 0)$  be a standard Brownian motion taking its values in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We let  $\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we let  $T_y = \inf \{t \geq 0 : B_t = y\}$ , and we aim to show, using only elementary properties of Brownian motion, that  $\lambda(\{B_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}) = 0$  a.s. For simplicity, we will admit in this problem the fact that  $E[\lambda(\{B_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\})] < \infty$ .

a) Let  $A_1 = \{B_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1/2\}$  and  $A_2 = \{B_t : 1/2 \leq t \leq 1\}$ . Show that the random variables  $\lambda(A_1)$  and  $\lambda(A_2)$  have the same distribution, which is equal to that of

$$\frac{1}{2} \lambda(\{B_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}).$$

b) Deduce that

$$E[\lambda(\{B_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1/2\} \cap \{B_t : 1/2 \leq t \leq 1\})] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \lambda(dy) P(y \in A_1 \cap A_2) = 0.$$

c) Show that the processes  $(B_{1/2-t} - B_{1/2}, 0 \leq t \leq 1/2)$  and  $(B_{t+1/2} - B_{1/2}, 0 \leq t \leq 1/2)$  are two independent standard Brownian motions defined on the time-interval  $[0, 1/2]$ . Deduce from b) that one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \lambda(dy) P(T_y \leq 1/2)^2 = 0,$$

and conclude that  $E[\lambda(\{B_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\})] = 0$ .

**6** On some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , let  $M$  be a random point measure (countable sum of Dirac masses) on  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ , which a.s. assigns a finite mass to bounded sets and is simple, meaning that almost surely

$$M(\{t\}) \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{for every } t \geq 0.$$

We assume that for every finite union  $A$  of bounded subintervals of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , we have

$$P(M(A) = 0) = e^{-\lambda(A)},$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

a) Let  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be pairwise disjoint bounded subintervals of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Show that the events  $\{M(I_i) = 0\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$  are independent.

b) For  $n, k \geq 0$ , we let  $D_k^n = [k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})$ . Fix  $J$  a bounded subinterval of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and let

$$M_n(J) = \sum_{k \geq 0 : D_k^n \subset J} \mathbb{1}_{\{M(D_k^n) \neq 0\}}.$$

(i) Show that  $M_n(J)$  follows a Binomial distribution with parameters  $(N_n, 1 - e^{-2^{-n}})$ , where  $N_n = \text{Card} \{k \geq 0 : D_k^n \subset J\}$ .

(ii) Show that  $M_n(J) \nearrow M(J)$  almost-surely as  $n \nearrow \infty$ , and deduce that  $M(J)$  follows a Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda(J)$ .

c) Let  $J_1, \dots, J_r$  be disjoint subintervals of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Show that  $M_n(J_1), \dots, M_n(J_r)$  are independent, and conclude that  $M(J_1), \dots, M(J_r)$  are independent. Deduce that, for every Borel function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , one has

$$E[\exp(-M(f))] = \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \lambda(dx) (1 - e^{-f(x)})\right),$$

and that  $M$  is a Poisson random measure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with intensity  $\lambda$ .

**END OF PAPER**