

PAPER 47

TIME SERIES AND MONTE CARLO INFERENCE

Attempt **FOUR** questions.
There are **SIX** questions in total.
The questions carry equal weight.

Note: The following properties of the Inverse Gamma and Beta distributions may be used without proof:

If $X \sim \Gamma^{-1}(a, b)$ then

$$f_X(x) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} x^{-(a+1)} \exp(-b/x), \quad x > 0$$

and $\mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{b}{a-1}$, with $\text{Var}(X) = \frac{b^2}{(a-1)^2(a-2)}$.

If $X \sim \beta(a, b)$ then

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1}, \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

and $\mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{a}{a+b}$, $\text{Var}(X) = \frac{ab}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)}$, and $\text{mode}(X) = \frac{a-1}{a+b-2}$.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

Cover sheet
Treasury Tag
Script paper

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

None

<p>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</p>
--

1 Time Series

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_T are observations of a weakly stationary autoregressive process of order p . Write down a recursive method for obtaining forecasts $\hat{X}_{T,k}$, $k \geq 1$, of X_{T+k} for $T \geq p$, and write down $\hat{X}_{T,1}$ and $\hat{X}_{T,2}$.

Let $Z_t = \mu + X_t$ where

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad (1.1)$$

with $|\phi| < 1$, where $\{\epsilon_t\}$ is a white noise process. Show that $\{Z_t\}$ is weakly stationary and derive its autocorrelation function.

Suppose Z_1, \dots, Z_T are observed. By considering the recursive forecasts for the X_t 's, find forecasts $\hat{Z}_{T,k}$, $k \geq 1$, for Z_{T+k} , $T \geq 1$, in terms of ϕ, μ and Z_1, \dots, Z_T . What happens to $\hat{Z}_{T,k}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$?

Now let $W_t = \mu t + Y_t$ where $\mathbb{E}Y_t = 0$ and $(I - B)Y_t = X_t$ where B is the backwards shift operator and X_t is as in equation (1.1). Show that $(I - B)W_t = Z_t$. By considering the forecasts $\hat{Z}_{T,k}$, derive forecasts $\hat{W}_{T,k}$ of W_{T+k} in terms of μ, ϕ and observations W_1, \dots, W_T . What happens to $(\hat{W}_{T,k})/k$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$?

2 Time Series

For a weakly stationary process $\{X_t\}$, define the autocovariance function and the spectral distribution function. If a spectral density function $f(\lambda)$ exists, express $f(\lambda)$ in terms of the autocovariances γ_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and express γ_k in terms of $f(\lambda)$.

(a) Find γ_k and $f(\lambda)$ if $X_t = \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2}$, where $\{\epsilon_t\}$ is a white noise process with mean 0 and variance σ^2 , and θ_1, θ_2 are constants. Find the spectral distribution function for this process.

(b) Given that a weakly stationary process has spectral density

$$f(\lambda) = \pi - |\lambda|,$$

find the autocovariance function.

(c) Let $X_t = A \cos(\omega_0 t) + B \sin(\omega_0 t)$, where A and B are uncorrelated random variables A and B , each with mean 0 and variance 1, and ω_0 is in $(0, \pi)$. Show that $\{X_t\}$ is weakly stationary and find its spectral distribution function.

3 Monte Carlo Inference

(a) Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be probability density functions with $f(x) \leq Mg(x)$ for all x and some $0 < M < \infty$. Write down the algorithm for the rejection method for simulating random variables with probability density f , using observations from g . Prove that this method works.

Show that the probability of acceptance is greatest when $M = \sup_x \left[\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right]$.

(b) Consider generating random numbers from a distribution with density

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}(x-1) & 1 \leq x \leq 3 \\ \frac{1}{12}(7-x) & 3 \leq x \leq 7 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

given a set of pseudo-random numbers $\{U_i\} \in [0, 1]$.

Describe how this can be done via

- (i) the rejection method
- (ii) the method of inversion.

Which method do you prefer and why?

4 Monte Carlo Inference

(a) Describe the bootstrap method for estimating the standard error of an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for some parameter $\theta(F)$, on the basis of a random sample x_1, \dots, x_n from F . Your description should include the form of the empirical estimator \hat{F} of F used in the algorithm.

Show that, given a sample of n distinct observations, the probability that any subsequent bootstrap sample has at least one repeated value is given by

$$1 - \frac{n!}{n^n}$$

(b) Suppose we observe paired data, $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_{100}, Y_{100})$. Construct for $r = \text{cor}(X, Y)$

- (i) a 95% percentile interval
- (ii) a 95% bootstrap- t interval.

Would you use similar methods to construct a confidence interval for the mean of X ? Explain your answer.

(c) Describe what is meant by a control variate for Y , an unbiased estimate of θ . Show how the variance of Y can be minimised using control variates.

5 Monte Carlo Inference

(a) Describe the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm for obtaining a dependent sample from some distribution $\pi(\theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$.

In the context of the Metropolis Hastings algorithm, describe what is meant by the terms

- (i) random-walk update
- (ii) independence sampler

Discuss the relative advantages and disadvantages of these.

(b) Suppose we observe data $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$ with corresponding known covariates $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)^T$ each of length k . We express the model in the form

$$\mathbf{y} = X_k \mathbf{a}_k + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

for design matrix

$$X_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{x}_1^T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \mathbf{x}_n^T \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \mathbf{a}_k &= (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k)^T \\ \text{and } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} &= (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)^T \\ \text{with } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{I}_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

- (i) Given priors $\sigma^2 \sim \Gamma^{-1}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathbf{a}_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$ give the posterior distribution $\pi(\mathbf{a}_k, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ up to a constant of proportionality.
- (ii) Describe reversible jump updates for a change in model order from k to $k+1$ and from $k+1$ to k (i.e., introducing/deleting a covariate x_{k+1}).

6 Monte Carlo Inference

Consider the following likelihood used in the fitting of capture-recapture models in population ecology.

$$L(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} | \phi, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R}) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{J-1} (1 - \phi_j)^{\sum_{i=1}^j n_{ij}} \phi_j^{A_{1j}} \\ \times \prod_{j=2}^J P_j^{\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_{ij}} (1 - P_j)^{A_{2j}}$$

$$\text{where } A_{1j} = \sum_{i=1}^j \left(\sum_{s=j+1}^J m_{is} + \sum_{s=j+1}^J n_{is} \right)$$

$$\text{and } A_{2j} = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \left(\sum_{s=j+1}^J m_{is} + \sum_{s=j}^J n_{is} \right)$$

$$\text{and where } \phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{J-1})$$

$$\mathbf{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_J)$$

are parameters to be estimated

$$\mathbf{m} = \{m_{ij}\} \quad i = 1, \dots, I \quad , \quad j = 2, \dots, J$$

$$\text{and } \mathbf{R} = \{R_i\} \quad i = 1, \dots, I$$

are data

$$\text{and } \mathbf{n} = \{n_{ij} : i = 1, \dots, I, j = 1, \dots, J-1\} \cup \{n_i = i = 1, \dots, I\}$$

are introduced 'missing data' such that

$$n_i = R_i - \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} n_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^J m_{ij}.$$

(a) By differentiating the log-likelihood, show that the maximum likelihood estimates of ϕ_i and P_i are given by

$$\hat{\phi}_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j \sum_{s=j+1}^J (m_{is} + n_{is})}{\sum_{i=1}^j (\sum_{s=j+1}^J m_{is} + \sum_{s=j}^J n_{is})}, \quad j = 1, \dots, J-1$$

and

$$\hat{P}_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \sum_{s=j}^J (m_{is} + n_{is})}, \quad j = 2, \dots, J$$

(b) Writing $n_{i,j} = n_{ij}$ for clarity, use the fact that

$$(n_{i,i}, n_{i,i+1}, \dots, n_{i,J-1}, n_i | \mathbf{m}, \phi, f)$$

is multinomial with number of trials $R_i - \sum_{j=i+1}^J m_{ij}$ and cell probabilities

$$\left(\frac{1-\phi_i}{C_i}, \frac{1-\phi_{i+1}}{C_i} \phi_i(1-P_{i+1}), \dots, \frac{1-\phi_{J-1}}{C_i} \prod_{j=i}^{J-2} \phi_j(1-P_{j+1}), \frac{1}{C_i} \prod_{j=i}^{J-1} \phi_j(1-P_{j+1}) \right)$$

where C_i is a normalising constant, to derive an *EM* algorithm to estimate ϕ_i and P_i . Take care to explain in detail both the *E* and *M* steps.

(c) Show that the maximum likelihood estimates of P_i can be derived without using differentiation, via standard distribution results.

END OF PAPER