

MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS      Part III

---

Monday 31 May, 2004    1.30 to 4.30

---

PAPER 45

SYMMETRY AND PARTICLE PHYSICS

*Attempt **THREE** questions.*

*There are **four** questions in total.*

*The questions carry equal weight.*

**You may not start to read the questions  
printed on the subsequent pages until  
instructed to do so by the Invigilator.**

**1** Define the Clebsch Gordan coefficients  $\langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | JM \rangle$ . Explain why we expect, for fixed  $M$ ,

$$\sum_{m_1, m_2} \langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | JM \rangle^2 = 1.$$

Particles belonging to an isospin multiplet with isospin  $I$  decay under strong interactions to two particles with isospins  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ . Denoting the particles by  $(IM)$ , where  $I, M$  are their isospin quantum numbers, explain why the amplitude for the decay should have the form

$$A_{(IM) \rightarrow (I_1 M_1) + (I_2 M_2)} = a \langle I_1 M_1 I_2 M_2 | IM \rangle,$$

where  $a$  is independent of  $M_1, M_2, M$ .

Show that the total decay rate  $\Gamma_{(IM) \rightarrow \text{anything}} = |a|^2$ .

Consider the decay of the  $\Delta$  baryons to a proton  $p$  or neutron  $n$  and a pion  $\pi$ . Using standard assignments of isospins work out

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\Delta^+ \rightarrow p + \pi^0}}{\Gamma_{\Delta^+ \rightarrow p + \pi^+}}, \quad \frac{\Gamma_{\Delta^+ \rightarrow n + \pi^+}}{\Gamma_{\Delta^+ \rightarrow p + \pi^+}}.$$

How might  $\Delta^-$  decay?

[Note that  $I_- |IM\rangle = \sqrt{(I+M)(I-M+1)} |IM-1\rangle$ .]

**2** The quarks  $q^\alpha = (u, d, s)$ , for  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ , transform under the 3 dimensional representation of  $SU(3)$ . Describe briefly how the conjugate  $\bar{q}_\alpha = (\bar{u}, \bar{d}, \bar{s})$  transforms under the conjugate  $3^*$  representation.

Show how we may decompose the tensor products of representations such that

$$3 \times 3 = 3^* + 6, \quad 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 1 + 8 + 8 + 10, \quad 3^* \times 3^* \times 3 = 3^* + 3^* + 6 + 15,$$

where representations are labelled by their dimensions and 15 denotes the representation formed by tensors  $T_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$  satisfying  $T_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = T_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha, T_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha = 0$ . How does  $3^* \times 3^* \times 3^*$  decompose?

A possible baryon has strangeness  $S = 1$  and isospin  $I = 0$ . Show how this might be interpreted as belonging to a  $10^*$  representation with quark structure  $(ud)(ud)\bar{s}$  where  $(ud)$  denotes  $u, d$  quarks combined so that they belong to a  $3^*$  representation. Why can this baryon not belong to an 8 representation?

**3** Show that the parity of a meson formed from a quark and antiquark is  $(-1)^{L+1}$ , where  $L$  is their relative orbital angular momentum in the centre of mass frame.

Show that the charge conjugation of a neutral meson is  $(-1)^{L+S}$  where  $S$  is the total spin of the quark, antiquark.

Restricting to the  $u, d$  quarks and their antiquarks show that we may expect amongst low mass mesons the spinless pions  $\pi^\pm, \pi^0$  and also spin 1 mesons  $\rho^\pm, \rho^0$ , with isospin 1, and  $\omega$  with isospin 0. What are their  $C, P$  quantum numbers? Why can  $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  but  $\omega \not\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  although  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ?

[You may assume that the parity of an antiquark is opposite to that of the corresponding quark and that charge conjugation interchanges quarks and antiquarks.]

**4** What is the rank of a Lie algebra? Describe briefly how for a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  the roots  $\alpha$  may be defined.

Let  $H, E^\pm$  be elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfying

$$[H, E^\pm] = \pm 2E^\pm, \quad [E^+, E^-] = H,$$

and let  $\{X_0, X_1, \dots\} \in \mathcal{L}$  be such that

$$[E^-, X_0] = 0, \quad [H, X_0] = -\lambda X_0, \quad [E^+, X_n] = X_{n+1}.$$

What is  $[H, X_n]$ ? Assume

$$[E^-, X_n] = q_n X_{n-1}.$$

By considering the commutator of this equation with  $E^+$  and using the Jacobi identity show that  $q_{n+1} = q_n + \lambda - 2n$  and hence that

$$q_n = n(\lambda - n + 1).$$

Suppose that  $[E^+, X_{n_0}] = 0$  for some  $n_0$ . Show that we must then have  $\lambda = n_0$ .

Let  $H_i, E_i^\pm \in \mathcal{L}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , where

$$[H_i, H_j] = 0, \quad [E_i^+, E_j^-] = \delta_{ij} H_j, \quad [H_i, E_j^\pm] = \pm K_{ji} E_j^\pm, \quad K_{jj} = 2, \quad \text{no sum on } j.$$

Explain why

$$\underbrace{[E_1^\pm, [\dots [E_1^\pm, E_2^\pm] \dots]]}_n \neq 0, \quad \underbrace{[E_1^\pm, [\dots [E_1^\pm, E_2^\pm] \dots]]}_{n+1} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad K_{21} = -n.$$

If  $\alpha, \beta$  are roots why must  $2\alpha \cdot \beta / \beta^2$  be an integer?

$R^r_s, \sum_r R^r_r = 0$ ,  $r, s = 1, 2, 3$  are elements of a Lie algebra such that

$$[R^r_s, R^u_v] = \delta^u_s R^r_v - \delta^r_v R^u_s.$$

Show that we may take  $E_1^+ = R^1_2, E_2^+ = R^2_3$  and  $E_1^- = R^2_1, E_2^- = R^3_2$ . What are  $H_1, H_2$ ? Determine  $K_{ji}$  in this case.