

M. PHIL. IN STATISTICAL SCIENCE

---

Monday 2 June 2003 1.30 to 3.30

---

PAPER 79

LARGE DEVIATIONS AND QUEUES

*Attempt **THREE** questions.*

*There are **four** questions in total.*

*The questions carry equal weight.*

*You may find helpful the reference material at the end of the paper.*

**You may not start to read the questions  
printed on the subsequent pages until  
instructed to do so by the Invigilator.**

**1** Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be normal random variables with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Let  $B$  be an exponential random variable with mean  $1/\lambda$ . Let  $C$  be a normal random variable with mean  $\nu$  and variance  $\rho^2$ . Let all of these random variables be independent.

- (a) State, without proof, a large deviations principle for  $L^{-1}B$ .
- (b) Find a large deviations principle for  $L^{-1}(A_1 + \dots + A_L)$ .
- (c) Find a large deviations principle for  $L^{-1}(B + A_1 + \dots + A_L)$ .
- (d) Find a large deviations principle for  $L^{-1}(C + A_1 + \dots + A_L)$ .
- (e) Comment on your results.

State clearly any general results to which you appeal.

**2** (a) Define these terms: rate function, good rate function, large deviations principle.

Recall that a sequence of random variables  $(X_L, L \in \mathbb{N})$  is said to be *exponentially tight* if for all  $\alpha \geq 0$  there exists a compact set  $K_\alpha$  such that

$$\limsup_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \log P(X_L \notin K_\alpha) < -\alpha.$$

The sequence  $(X_L, L \in \mathbb{N})$  is said to satisfy a *weak large deviations principle* if the large deviations upper bound is required to hold only for compact sets.

Suppose that the sequence  $(X_L, L \in \mathbb{N})$  is exponentially tight, and satisfies a weak large deviations principle with rate function  $I$ .

- (b) Show that  $I$  is a good rate function.
- (c) Show that the large deviations upper bound holds for closed sets.

Conclude that  $(X_L, L \in \mathbb{N})$  satisfies a large deviations principle with good rate function  $I$ .

- 3 (a) Consider a queue operating in slotted time, with infinite buffer and fixed service rate  $c$ , and receiving an amount of work  $a_t$  in timeslot  $(t-1, t)$ . What is the Lindley recursion for queue size? Writing  $a$  for  $(a_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ , define the queue size function  $Q_0(a, c)$ .
- (b) Fix  $\lambda > 0$  and consider the space of input process

$$\mathcal{X} = \left\{ a : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{-t} + \cdots + a_{-1}}{t} = \lambda \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|a\| = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{a_{-t} + \cdots + a_{-1}}{t+1} \right|$$

Show that, if  $\lambda < c$ , the queue size function  $Q_0(\cdot, c)$  is continuous on  $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ .

- (c) Suppose that work from this queue is fed into another queue downstream: any work served by the first queue in timeslot  $(t-1, t)$  reaches the downstream queue in the same timeslot, and may be served in the same timeslot. Let the downstream queue have service rate  $d < c$ . Write down a recursion for the downstream queue size  $R_t(a, c, d)$ , and show that  $R_t(a, c, d)$  satisfies

$$Q_t(a, c) + R_t(a, c, d) = [Q_{t-1}(a, c) + R_{t-1}(a, c, d) + a_t - d]^+.$$

- (d) Define the downstream queue size function  $R_0(a, c, d)$ .
- (e) Suppose that  $\lambda < d < c$ . Show that  $R_0(\cdot, c, d)$  is continuous on  $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ . Explain how one might use this in finding a large deviations principle for the downstream queue size.

4 Define the *effective bandwidth* of an arrival process. Write an essay on effective bandwidths and large deviations. In your essay you should describe a queueing model, explain the use of large deviations theory in analysing it, interpret the results in terms of effective bandwidth, and give examples, including an example of a queue fed by several independent arrival processes.

(You should prove a large deviations upper bound for the queue length distribution, but you need not prove a large deviations lower bound.)

**Reference: Gärtner-Ellis theorem**

A convex function  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  is *essentially smooth* if

- (a) the interior of its effective domain is non-empty
- (b)  $\Lambda(\cdot)$  is differentiable throughout the interior of its effective domain
- (c)  $\Lambda(\cdot)$  is steep, namely,  $|\nabla\Lambda(\theta_n)| \rightarrow \infty$  whenever  $(\theta_n)$  is a sequence in the interior of the effective domain converging to a point on the boundary of the effective domain.

Let  $(X_L, L \in \mathbb{N})$  be a sequence of random vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let

$$\Lambda^L(\theta) = \frac{1}{L} \log E \exp(L\theta \cdot X_L)$$

for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Assume that for each  $\theta$  the limit

$$\Lambda(\theta) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^L(\theta)$$

exists in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Assume further that 0 is in the interior of the effective domain of  $\Lambda$ , and that  $\Lambda$  is essentially smooth and lower-semicontinuous. Then  $(X_L, L \in \mathbb{N})$  satisfies an LDP in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with good rate function

$$\Lambda^*(x) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \theta \cdot x - \Lambda(\theta).$$