

Homotopical Type Theory

Eaton Liu

Magdalene College

Type theory: a formal system for developing mathematics

Primitives:

- *types*: $\vdash A$, e.g. \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} , S^1 ;
- *terms*: $\vdash a : A$, e.g. $1 : \mathbb{N}$, $1 : \mathbb{R}$, $\text{base} : S^1$;
- *family of types*: $x : A \vdash B(x)$, $x : A, y : B(x) \vdash C(x, y)$,
e.g. $n : \mathbb{N} \vdash \mathbb{R}^n$, $n : \mathbb{N} \vdash \text{isPrime}(n)$;
- *family of terms*: $x : A \vdash b_x : B(x)$, $x : A, y : B(x) \vdash c_{x,y} : C(x, y)$,
e.g. $n : \mathbb{N} \vdash (0, \dots, 0) : \mathbb{R}^n$.

Constructions:

- *product types*: $A \times B$, *sum types*: $A + B$, *function types*: $A \rightarrow B$;
- *dependent product types*: $\prod_{x:A} B(x)$, *dependent sum types*:
 $\sum_{x:A} B(x)$;
- *identity types*: $x, y : A \vdash x =_A y$;

equipped with rules to construct their terms (introduction), deconstruct their terms (elimination), and compute with them (computation).

Propositions as types

How to prove the proposition $(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r))$?

Proof.

Assume $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$, then assume $p \rightarrow q$, and finally assume p . We get q by applying $p \rightarrow q$ to p , and we conclude r by applying $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ to p then q . \square

Same as constructing a function $(r^q)^p \rightarrow (r^p)^{(q^p)}$!

$\lambda f. \lambda g. \lambda x. (f \ x) (g \ x) : (p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$.

Type theory	Logic
Type A	Proposition A
Term $a : A$	Proof of proposition A
$A \times B$	Conjunction $A \wedge B$
$A + B$	Disjunction $A \vee B$
$A \rightarrow B$	Implication $A \rightarrow B$
$\prod_{x:A} B(x)$	Universal quantification $\forall x \in A, B(x)$
$\sum_{x:A} B(x)$	Existential quantification $\exists x \in A, B(x)$

Homotopical interpretation

Types as spaces, terms as points.

Type theory	Homotopy theory
$A \rightarrow B$	Space of continuous maps $A \rightarrow B$
$A \times B$	Product space $A \times B$
$A + B$	Disjoint union $A + B$
Type family $x : A \vdash B(x)$	Fibration over A
Term family $x : A \vdash b_x : B(x)$	Section of the fibration
$\prod_{x:A} B(x)$	Space of sections
$\sum_{x:A} B(x)$	Total space of fibration

Identity types

Rules

Formation rule: $x, y : A \vdash x =_A y$;

Introduction rule: $x : A \vdash \text{refl}_x : x =_A x$;

Elimination rule (path induction): To give a term family $x, y : A, p : x =_A y \vdash b(x, y, p) : B(x, y, p)$, suffices to assume $y \equiv x$ and $p \equiv \text{refl}_x$ (i.e. giving $b' : B(x, x, \text{refl}_x)$).

Computation rule: The function constructed by the elimination rule satisfies $b(x, x, \text{refl}_x) \equiv b'$.

Logical interpretation: the *proposition* that x and y are equal;

Homotopical interpretation: the *space of paths* from x to y in A .

Terms $p : x =_A y$ are *paths* from x to y .

$\text{refl}_x : x =_A x$ is the *constant path* at x .

Can form iterated identity types $p =_{x=_A y} q$ (homotopies between paths), $r =_{p =_{x=_A y} q} s$ (homotopies between homotopies), etc.

Path induction

Concatenation/Transitivity

Given $x, y, z : A$, $p : x =_A y$, $q : y =_A z$, there is a path $p \cdot q : x =_A z$.

Proof/Construction.

By path induction on p , assume $y \equiv x$ and $p \equiv \text{refl}_x$. Then have $q : x =_A z$, so by path induction on q , assume $z \equiv x$ and $q \equiv \text{refl}_x$. Then $\text{refl}_x : x =_A x$ has the desired type.

Pattern-matching definition: $\text{refl}_x \cdot \text{refl}_x := \text{refl}_x$. □

Similarly, can prove symmetry/inverses, so identity types are “equivalence relations”. Concatenation also satisfies associativity, left and right unit laws, and inverse laws up to higher paths.

Warning

$$K : \prod_{p : x =_A x} p =_{x =_A x} \text{refl}_x$$
$$K(\text{refl}_x) := \text{refl}_{\text{refl}_x}$$

Truncations

Problem with logical interpretation: disjunctions and existentials may have non-identical proofs (encode too much data).

A type A is *contractible* if

$$\sum_{a:A} \prod_{x:A} (a =_A x).$$

Logical interpretation: A is a singleton.

Homotopical interpretation: there is a point $a : A$ with a *homotopy* $\text{const}_a \sim \text{id}_A$.

A type A is a *proposition* if for all $x, y : A$, $x =_A y$ is contractible (proof irrelevance).

A type A is a *set* if for all $x, y : A$ and $p, q : x =_A y$, we have $r : p =_{x=Ay} q$ (uniqueness of identity proofs).

\mathbb{N} is a set; $\text{isContr}(A)$, $\text{isProp}(A)$, $\text{isSet}(A)$ are propositions.

For any type A , can define its *propositional truncation* $\|A\|$ with a map $\eta : A \rightarrow \|A\|$ that is universal for maps from A to a proposition B .

Now define $A \vee B := \|A + B\|$, $\exists(x : A), B(x) := \|\Sigma(x : A), B(x)\|$.

Higher inductive types

Inductive types: types A “freely generated” by some constructors $X \rightarrow A$.

Example (\mathbb{N})

- $0 : \mathbb{N}$
- $\text{succ} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

Higher inductive types: allow *path constructors*.

Example (S^1)

- $\text{base} : S^1$
- $\text{loop} : \text{base} =_{S^1} \text{base}$

Example (T^2)

- $b : T^2$
- $p : b =_{T^2} b$
- $q : b =_{T^2} b$
- $t : p \cdot q =_{b =_{T^2} b} q \cdot p$

Higher inductive types (HITs)

Example (S^2)

- $\text{base} : S^2$
- $\text{surf} : \text{refl}_{\text{base}} =_{\text{base} =_{S^2} \text{base}} \text{refl}_{\text{base}}$

Can compute their homotopy groups, show $T^2 \cong S^1 \times S^1$, etc. internally.
HITs are not just cell complexes!

Example (Propositional truncation $\|A\|$)

- $\eta : A \rightarrow \|A\|$
- $p : (x, y : \|A\|) \rightarrow x =_A y$

More generally, can define n -truncations that erase all homotopy data above dimension n , giving rise to the *Postnikov tower*.
HITs can also be used to define set-quotients or quotient types, which allow us to construct (*homotopy*) *colimits*.